

A Review of Global Surveillance and Response Strategies for Emergency Animal Diseases

Alexandro Victor*

Department of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, New York, United States

Abstract

Emergency animal diseases (EADs) pose significant threats to global agriculture, public health, and economic stability. Effective surveillance and rapid response strategies are essential for mitigating the impact of these diseases. This review provides an overview of global surveillance and response strategies for EADs. It examines the key components of surveillance systems, including passive and active surveillance, and discusses the importance of early detection and reporting. The review also explores international collaboration mechanisms and response frameworks for managing EAD outbreaks. By synthesizing existing literature and case studies, this review aims to inform policymakers, veterinarians, and stakeholders on best practices for enhancing surveillance and response capacities to address the challenges posed by EADs.

Keywords: Emergency animal diseases • Surveillance • Response strategies • Early detection • International collaboration

Introduction

Emergency animal diseases (EADs) represent a significant threat to global agriculture, food security, and public health. These diseases have the potential to cause widespread morbidity and mortality in animal populations, disrupt trade networks, and pose zoonotic risks to human populations. Effective surveillance and rapid response strategies are essential for mitigating the impact of EADs and preventing their spread across borders. This review provides an in-depth examination of global surveillance and response strategies for managing EADs. By synthesizing existing literature, case studies, and best practices, this review aims to identify key principles and strategies for enhancing surveillance and response capacities to address the challenges posed by EADs on a global scale [1]. Rapid detection and effective response to EAD outbreaks are essential for minimizing their impact on animal populations, trade restrictions, and human health. This review provides a comprehensive examination of global surveillance and response strategies for managing EADs. It highlights the importance of early detection, rapid reporting, and coordinated international efforts in mitigating the spread of these diseases. By synthesizing existing literature and case studies, this review aims to identify key principles and best practices for enhancing surveillance and response capacities to address the challenges posed by EADs.

Literature Review

Surveillance systems for EADs encompass passive and active surveillance mechanisms, each serving distinct purposes in detecting and monitoring disease outbreaks. Passive surveillance relies on the voluntary reporting of clinical cases by veterinarians, farmers, and other stakeholders, providing valuable early warning signals of potential outbreaks. Active surveillance involves systematic data collection and analysis to detect disease trends and monitor the effectiveness of control measures. International organizations

such as the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) play crucial roles in coordinating global surveillance efforts, facilitating information exchange, and promoting capacity-building initiatives among member countries [2]. Response frameworks, such as the OIE's Terrestrial Animal Health Code and the FAO's Emergency Prevention System for Transboundary Animal and Plant Pests and Diseases (EMPRES), provide guidelines and protocols for managing EAD outbreaks and coordinating international assistance.

Surveillance systems for EADs encompass a variety of strategies, including passive and active surveillance, syndromic surveillance, and risk-based surveillance. Passive surveillance relies on the voluntary reporting of clinical cases by veterinarians, farmers, and other stakeholders, providing early warning signals of potential outbreaks. Active surveillance involves systematic data collection and analysis to detect disease trends and monitor the effectiveness of control measures. Syndromic surveillance utilizes real-time data on clinical signs and symptoms to detect aberrations indicative of disease outbreaks. Risk-based surveillance focuses on high-risk populations or regions to target resources efficiently.

Discussion

Effective surveillance and response to EADs require a coordinated and multisectoral approach involving policymakers, veterinarians, researchers, and stakeholders at local, national, and international levels. Strengthening surveillance capacities, enhancing laboratory diagnostic capabilities, and improving communication networks are essential for early detection and rapid reporting of EAD outbreaks. International collaboration mechanisms, such as the Global Early Warning and Response System (GLEWS) and regional networks, facilitate information sharing, data analysis, and joint response efforts to address transboundary threats. However, challenges such as inadequate resources, fragmented surveillance systems, and gaps in veterinary infrastructure persist in many regions, hindering effective disease control and response efforts.

Effective surveillance and response to emergency animal diseases (EADs) require a multifaceted and collaborative approach at local, national, and international levels. Strengthening surveillance capacities is paramount for early detection and containment of EAD outbreaks. This involves implementing robust surveillance systems that encompass passive and active surveillance mechanisms, syndromic surveillance, and risk-based surveillance strategies [3]. Passive surveillance relies on the voluntary reporting of clinical cases by veterinarians, farmers, and other stakeholders, providing valuable early warning signals of potential outbreaks. Active surveillance involves

*Address for Correspondence: Alexandro Victor, Department of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, New York, United States, E-mail: alex.victor@cornell.edu

Copyright: © 2024 Victor A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 01 January, 2024, Manuscript No. ahbs-24-129539; **Editor assigned:** 02 January, 2024, PreQC No. P-129539; **Reviewed:** 16 January, 2024, QC No. Q-129539; **Revised:** 29 January, 2024, Manuscript No. R-129539; **Published:** 09 February, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2952-8097.2024.8.233

systematic data collection and analysis to detect disease trends and monitor the effectiveness of control measures. Syndromic surveillance utilizes real-time data on clinical signs and symptoms to detect aberrations indicative of disease outbreaks. Risk-based surveillance focuses on high-risk populations or regions to target resources efficiently.

In addition to surveillance, enhancing laboratory diagnostic capabilities is essential for confirming disease diagnosis and guiding response efforts. Access to rapid and accurate diagnostic tests is crucial for timely identification of EADs and implementation of control measures. International collaboration and information-sharing mechanisms are also vital for effective response to EAD outbreaks [4]. Organizations such as the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) play crucial roles in coordinating global surveillance efforts, facilitating information exchange, and promoting capacity-building initiatives among member countries.

Furthermore, response frameworks such as the OIE's Terrestrial Animal Health Code and the FAO's Emergency Prevention System for Transboundary Animal and Plant Pests and Diseases (EMPRES) provide guidelines and protocols for managing EAD outbreaks and coordinating international assistance. These frameworks emphasize the importance of rapid response, coordination of resources, and collaboration among stakeholders to contain and control EADs. Regional networks and partnerships also play a key role in facilitating joint response efforts and sharing best practices for disease control.

However, despite efforts to enhance surveillance and response capacities, challenges persist in managing EADs effectively. Inadequate resources, fragmented surveillance systems, and gaps in veterinary infrastructure hinder disease control and response efforts in many regions. Additionally, the dynamic nature of EADs, influenced by factors such as globalization, climate change, and human-wildlife interactions, presents ongoing challenges for surveillance and response strategies.

To address these challenges, continued investment in surveillance infrastructure, capacity-building initiatives, and research and development is necessary. Strengthening veterinary services, enhancing laboratory diagnostic capabilities, and promoting knowledge exchange are essential for improving preparedness and resilience against EADs [5]. By implementing best practices and leveraging existing frameworks, policymakers, veterinarians, and stakeholders can enhance surveillance and response capacities, ultimately minimizing the impact of EADs on animal health, trade, and human livelihoods.

Conclusion

Global surveillance and response strategies are critical for managing

emergency animal diseases and minimizing their impact on animal health, trade, and human livelihoods. Enhancing surveillance capacities, strengthening international collaboration, and promoting knowledge exchange are essential for improving early detection and rapid response to EAD outbreaks. By implementing best practices and leveraging existing frameworks, policymakers, veterinarians, and stakeholders can enhance preparedness and resilience against the challenges posed by EADs. Continued investment in surveillance infrastructure, capacity-building initiatives, and research and development is necessary to address the dynamic nature of EADs and ensure the sustainability of global animal health systems.

References

1. Fountain, Jake, Jennifer Manyweathers, Victoria J. Brookes, and Marta Hernandez-Jover. "Understanding biosecurity behaviors of Australian beef cattle farmers using the ten basic human values framework." *Front Vet Sci* 10 (2023): 1072929.
2. Biesheuvel, Marit M., Inge MGA Santman-Berends, Herman W. Barkema, Caroline Ritter, John Berezowski, Maria Guelbenzu, and Jasmeet Kaler. "Understanding farmers' behavior and their decision-making process in the context of cattle diseases: a review of theories and approaches." *Front Vet Sci* 8 (2021): 687699.
3. Fineout-Overholt, Ellen, and Linda Johnston. "Teaching EBP: asking searchable, answerable clinical questions." *Worldviews Evid Based Nurs* 2 (2005).
4. Hopp, Petter, Synnøve Vatn, and Jorun Jarp. "Norwegian farmers' vigilance in reporting sheep showing scrapie-associated signs." *BMC Vet Res* 3 (2007): 1-9.
5. Cane, James, Michelle Richardson, Marie Johnston, Ruhina Ladha, and Susan Michie. "From lists of behaviour change techniques (BCTs) to structured hierarchies: comparison of two methods of developing a hierarchy of BCTs." *Br J Health Psychol* 20 (2015): 130-150.

How to cite this article: Victor, Alexandro. "A Review of Global Surveillance and Response Strategies for Emergency Animal Diseases." *J Anim Health Behav Sci* 8 (2024): 233.