A Pilot Study Comparing FLT-PET and FDG-PET in the Evaluation of Response to Cetuximab and Radiation Therapy in Advanced Head and Neck Malignancies

Brandon M. Barney, Val Lowe, Scott H. Okuno, Bradley J. Kemp, Mark S. Jacobson, Katharine A. Price, Jean E. Lewis, Jan L. Kasperbauer, Debra H. Brinkmann, Robert L. Foote, Yolanda I. Garces, Wenting Wu and Jann N. Sarkaria

1Department of Radiation Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA
2Division of Nuclear Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA
3Division of Medical Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA
4Division of Anatomic Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA
5Division of Otolaryngology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA
6Division of Biomedical Statistics and Informatics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

Abstract

Background: We prospectively compared FLT-PET and FDG-PET in evaluating response to cetuximab and chemoradiotherapy for HNSCC.

Methods: Six patients with HNSCC received cetuximab followed by chemoradiotherapy. Patients had FLT- and FDG-PET scans at baseline, after cetuximab, and 2 weeks into chemoradiotherapy. Changes in SUV_max on successive scans were compared to baseline.

Results: After induction therapy, changes in SUV_max ranged from -2 to 32% for FLT-PET and -24 to 0% for FDG-PET. After two weeks of chemoradiotherapy, changes in SUV_max ranged from -71 to 9% for FLT-PET and -80 to -7% for FDG-PET. One patient experienced consecutive increases in FLT uptake not detected by FDG-PET. No patient recurred at a median 14.6 months.

Conclusions: Functional imaging early during definitive therapy for HNSCC is feasible. Similar changes in FLT and FDG uptake are detected during chemoradiotherapy; however, distinct differences were seen after induction cetuximab therapy. Further follow-up will facilitate correlation of radiotracer uptake with clinical outcome.
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Introduction

Nearly 40,000 people in the U.S. are diagnosed annually with locally advanced, potentially curable, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [HNSCC], and most are treated with definitive chemoradiotherapy [1,2]. Despite improvements in systemic therapy and radiation targeting and delivery, rates of local failure approach 30% at 3 years [3,4], and some patients require post-chemoradiotherapy neck dissection for persistent adenopathy or regional failure [5,6]. Thus, methods for early identification of tumors resistant to standard treatments would be helpful for individualizing therapies to maximize tumor control.

Both 2-deoxy-2-18F-fluoro-d-glucose [FDG] positron emission tomography (PET) and 3'-deoxy-3'-18F-fluorothymidine (FLT) PET imaging have been used in HNSCC to define disease extent and assess treatment response [7-11]. FLT-PET has a theoretical advantage over FDG-PET, since cellular uptake of FLT is a more specific cellular proliferation marker whereas FDG uptake provides a generalized assessment of metabolic state. A randomized clinical trial demonstrated a survival benefit for patients with HNSCC treated with radiotherapy and concurrent epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitor therapy compared to patients treated with radiotherapy alone [12], and pre-clinical studies have shown treatment with either radiotherapy or the anti-EGFR antibody cetuximab can result in a reduction in FLT accumulation within 48 hours [13,14]. In the current feasibility study, we evaluated the potential for FLT- and FDG-PET to detect an early response to induction cetuximab therapy and concurrent chemoradiotherapy.

Materials and Methods

Patients

This prospective study was approved by the Mayo Institutional Review Board, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Eligible patients had biopsy-proven HNSCC requiring treatment with chemoradiotherapy, and an ECOG performance status of 0 or 1. Patients with a recent surgery or infection, a poorly controlled inflammatory process, or uncontrolled diabetes mellitus were excluded from the study. All patients underwent pre-treatment laboratory evaluation (complete blood count, creatinine, total bilirubin, and aspartate aminotransferase), baseline staging FDG-PET scan, and evaluation by radiation, medical, and surgical oncologists. No patient was excluded from analysis for ineligibility after giving informed consent.
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Study design

All patients were treated with chemoradiotherapy with curative intent. Weekly intravenous cetuximab infusion was initiated two weeks prior to radiotherapy: loading dose of 400 mg/m² and then weekly doses of 250 mg/m² throughout radiation. Concurrent intensity-modulated radiation therapy was started with the third dose of cetuximab using an integrated boost of 70 Gy in 35 daily 2 Gy fractions to gross tumor plus margin and 63 Gy in 35 daily 1.8 Gy fractions to sub-clinical disease. Patients also received weekly intravenous cisplatin chemotherapy (30 mg/m²/weekly) starting concurrently with radiotherapy.

Baseline FLT- and FDG-PET scans were obtained after registration but prior to induction cetuximab. Staging FDG-PET scans obtained at Mayo Clinic within 14 days of registration were used when available. FLT- and FDG-PET scans were repeated after the second dose of cetuximab but before initiation of concurrent chemoradiotherapy, and again after two weeks of chemoradiotherapy, between fractions 10 and 15. An optional tumor biopsy was obtained after completion of induction cetuximab to obtain tissue for immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis. IHC analysis was performed on the initial biopsy specimen only in patients who elected to undergo optional post-induction therapy biopsy as well. FDG-PET scans were performed six weeks and six months following completion of chemoradiotherapy. The entire treatment scheme is summarized in Figure 1.

PET synthesis and acquisition

18Fl was produced at Mayo Clinic using a PETtrace Cyclotron (GE Medical Systems, Inc, Milwaukee, Wisconsin), and 18F-labeled FDG was synthesized with the standard automated Hamacher method. All patients fasted for at least six hours before injection of FDG. Blood glucose was measured just prior FDG injection. Patients were rescheduled if blood glucose was >200 mg/dL.

18F-FLT was synthesized as described using 5’-O-[4,4’-dimethoxytriphenylmethyl]-2,3’-anhydrothymidine precursor [15]. The PET radiochemistry module is a fully-automated one-pot synthesizer using solid phase extraction for purification of the crude material. The product is formulated in sterile 0.9% sodium chloride and up to 10% ethanol and sterilized by filtration via a Millex 0.22 mm vented GS filter. Radiochemical purity (>90%) is determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and chemical purity by HPLC and TLC (thin layer chromatography).

PET image acquisition was performed on a GE RX or 690 PET/CT scanner (GE Medical Systems). Danced head and neck PET images were obtained between 1 and 1.5 hours after intravenous injection of 740 MBq of FDG or FLT, applying a 2-dimensional mode with a 5-minute acquisition per bed position. Emission images were reconstructed using iterative reconstruction, and emission data were corrected for scatter, random events, and dead-time losses using the manufacturer’s software. Image pixel size of the dedicated head and neck images was 4.25 mm, displayed in a 256x256 array with a 30 cm field of view. They were reconstructed using iterative reconstruction applying 3 iterations and 35 subsets. Full-width at half maximum of the Gaussian smoothing filter applied in reconstruction was 5 mm.

For the integrated PET/CT scan, nonenhanced CT images were acquired with a helical mode with a detector-row configuration of 4x5 mm, a high-speed mode [pitch of 6:1], a gantry rotation of 0.8 s, and a table speed of 30 mm per gantry rotation, 140 kVp, and 120 mA (180 mA for dedicated head and neck). The 5-mm-thick transaxial CT images were reconstructed at 4.25-mm intervals (transaxial) for fusion with the transaxial PET images.

PET image analysis

All FLT- and FDG-PET images were analyzed in transverse, coronal, and sagittal planes by a clinical investigator (BMB) and nuclear medicine specialist (VL) blinded to other clinical data. Qualitative image evaluation consisted of a slice-by-slice comparison of FLT- and FDG-PET scans for each time interval, noting similarities and differences in regions of increased radiotracer uptake. Quantitative evaluation was performed using the PET Edge Contour tool on a MIMvista workstation (MIM Software Inc., Cleveland, OH). A 3-dimensional region of interest (ROI) was generated using a gradient-based algorithm. A single ROI was placed around all areas of focally increased radiotracer uptake including the primary site and regional lymph nodes, and SUV maximum (SUV max), total lesion glycolysis (TLG; FDG-PET), total lesion proliferation (TLP; FLT-PET), and functional tumor volume (FTV) were calculated. Tumor response was scored using criterion proposed by the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) for FDG and de Langen et al. for FLT, respectively [16,17]: partial metabolic response (PMR, ≥ 15% reduction in SUV max for PET), ≥ 20% reduction in SUV max for FLT-PET), stable metabolic disease (SMD, ≤25% increase to <15% decrease in tumor SUV for FDG-PET or ≤25% increase to <20% decrease in tumor SUV for FLT-PET), and minimal metabolic disease (MMD, ≤25% increase to >15% decrease in tumor SUV for FDG-PET or >25% increase to ><20% decrease in tumor SUV for FLT-PET).

Figure 1: Treatment schema for this prospective study. FLT- and FDG-PET imaging was obtained at baseline, after 2 weeks of induction cetuximab (C225), and after 2 weeks of chemoradiotherapy (RT/C225±CDDP). FDG-PET scans were obtained 6 weeks and 6 months after completion of chemoradiation.

Figure 2: Representative FLT-PET, FDG-PET, and CT axial slices obtained through the primary tumor site and regional lymph nodes for Patient 1. Both FLT- and FDG-PET showed SMD after induction therapy and PMR after 2 weeks of chemoradiotherapy. FDG-PET scans 6 weeks and 6 months after completion of chemoradiotherapy showed a continued decline in SUV max.
decrease in tumor SUV for FLT-PET), or progressive metabolic disease (PMD, >25% increase in tumor SUV for FDG- or FLT-PET).

**Ki-67 Immunohistochemistry**

Tissue sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated in distilled water, and antigen retrieval was performed using preheated EDTA at 98°C for 30 minutes. Using a Dako Autostainer (Dako, Carpentry, CA), tissue sections were treated with peroxidase block, rinsed, incubated with a 1:100 dilution of primary antibody MIB-1 clone (Dako) and subsequently with an Envision dual link secondary antibody (Dako). Sections were developed with the high-sensitivity diaminobenzidine (DAB+) chromogenic substrate system (Dako) for colorimetric visualization and counterstained with a modified Schmitt’s hematoxylin. The proliferative index was graded in a semi-quantitative fashion: low, <5% of tumor nuclei staining; moderate, 5 to 20%; and high, >20%.

**Statistical considerations**

The primary study endpoints were changes in FLT- and FDG-SUV\textsubscript{max} compared to baseline on scans obtained after induction cetuximab and during chemoradiotherapy. Other endpoints included changes in TLG/TLP, FTV, Ki-67 reactivity after induction therapy, patterns of failure, and survival. All treatment outcomes were defined from the time of initial biopsy. All statistical analysis was performed with JMP 8.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

**Results**

Six patients were accrued between November 2008 and February 2010 and were available for evaluation. Baseline characteristics for these patients are summarized in Table 1. Protocol adherence was good for imaging at baseline and during radiotherapy, with all patients undergoing FLT- and FDG-PET scans at these intervals. Four of the six patients underwent FLT- and FDG-PET scanning after induction cetuximab therapy prior to starting radiation and three of these four patients also underwent a second tumor biopsy at that time. The other three patients refused repeat biopsy. Protocol adherence was good for post-treatment follow-up imaging; six patients had an FDG-PET scan six weeks post-radiotherapy, and five of six patients underwent FDG-PET imaging six months after radiotherapy. Figure 2 shows representative FLT-PET, FDG-PET, and CT axial slices for Patient 1 from each imaging time point.

**Baseline imaging**

Assessment of disease extent with FLT-PET in all cases was concordant with the disease extent defined by FDG-PET both with respect to the primary site and regional adenopathy. The range in baseline FLT-SUV\textsubscript{max} was 5.8 to 14.8 (median, 10.9) and in baseline FDG-SUV\textsubscript{max} was 12.4 to 34.7 (median, 17.5; Table 2). For each patient, baseline SUV\textsubscript{max} was higher for FDG-PET than FLT-PET. Other values of interest for baseline images as well as images at other time intervals, including TLG, TLP, and FTV, are reported in Table 2. Thus, although FLT- and FDG-PET showed similar results in terms of disease staging, FDG uptake was greater than FLT uptake at baseline.

**Post-induction therapy imaging and IHC**

Four patients were evaluable for FLT and FDG imaging response after two weeks of cetuximab therapy. By the response criteria, three patients had SMD by FLT-PET scanning (change in FLT-SUV\textsubscript{max} of -1%, -2%, and -2%), and one patient (Patient 4) had PMD (32% increase in FLT-SUV\textsubscript{max}). One patient (Patient 2) had a PMR by FDG-PET scanning (24% decrease in FDG-SUV\textsubscript{max}) and three patients had SMD (-14%, -5%, and 0%). A comparison of FLT- and FDG-SUV changes showed relatively poor association between the two imaging modalities (Figure 3). Three patients had post-cetuximab biopsies; in all three cases, Ki-67 reactivity remained moderate to high. Figure 4 shows an example of pre- and post-FLT-PET, FDG-PET, and Ki-67 IHC images for Patient 3. The other two patients who underwent post-cetuximab biopsies had similar IHC findings. In summary, metabolic response to cetuximab induction monotherapy was detected in one patient by

![Figure 3](image_url) "A modified waterfall plot of change in SUV\textsubscript{max} after induction cetuximab for each patient. The white bars represent FLT-PET, and the grey bars represent FDG-PET. The numbers along the X-axis correspond to the patient number."
FDG-PET, while a different patient had metabolic progression detected by FLT-PET.

**Imaging during chemoradiotherapy**

All six patients were evaluable for FLT- and FDG-PET imaging response after two weeks of concurrent chemoradiotherapy. Compared to baseline, the changes in FLT-SUV\textsubscript{max} ranged from -71% to 9% (median, -52.5%), and the changes in FDG-SUV\textsubscript{max} ranged from -80% to -7% (median, -55%; Figure 5). A comparison of FLT- and FDG-PET showed generally good correlation between the two imaging studies, with the exception of Patient 4. In this patient, FLT SUV\textsubscript{max} increased by 9% compared to baseline, while the FDG SUV\textsubscript{max} changed by -23%. Although no clear cause for these deviations is evident, it is interesting to note that this patient was the only female studied and was by far the youngest patient on the study (42 years; Table 1). By response criteria, four of six patients had a PR by FLT-PET compared to five of six with a PR by FDG-PET. Only Patient 5 did not achieve a PR by either FLT-PET (-9% relative to baseline) or FDG-PET (-7% relative to baseline). Therefore, after two weeks of induction cetuximab followed by two weeks of concurrent chemoradiotherapy, most patients had experienced a considerable decrease in tumor SUV\textsubscript{max} compared to baseline by both FLT- and FDG-PET.

**Post-treatment imaging and patient outcomes**

Patients were seen six weeks after completion of therapy and then at three month intervals for the first two years. No patient has been lost to follow-up at a median 26.1 months (range, 23.0 to 37.7 months). In all patients, FDG-PET imaging demonstrated a continued and marked SUV treatment response in both the primary site and regional lymph nodes at the six week post-treatment time interval, with SUV\textsubscript{max} ranging from 4.4 to 6.6 (median, 5.2). This response persisted at the six-month time interval in the five patients for whom six month FDG-PET scans were available, with an SUV\textsubscript{max} range of 4.0 to 6.0 (median, 4.2). These imaging findings were confirmed by history and physical examination at similar time intervals. The patient who did not have FDG-PET imaging at six months instead had a CT scan of the chest along with a comprehensive head and neck examination due to physician preference, as one of the patient’s previous chest CT scans had shown indeterminate pulmonary nodules. Currently, this patient is clinically without evidence of disease, and the indeterminate lung findings continue to be stable. In all patients, no areas of new PET avidity, either in the head and neck or distant regions, have been noted on post-treatment imaging, no patient has required a neck dissection or other secondary surgical procedure.

**Discussion**

This pilot study demonstrates that similar changes in FLT and FDG uptake can be detected in HNSCC early during chemoradiotherapy. In the four patients examined after induction cetuximab, FLT uptake was unchanged in three patients and increased in one patient, while FDG uptake decreased in one of four patients. In contrast, after two weeks of concurrent chemoradiotherapy, both FLT and FDG uptake decreased in most patients, and the extent of change in FLT and FDG SUV\textsubscript{max} correlated closely in all but one patient. After completion of definitive therapy, a continued decline in FDG avidity was noted, with successive decrements in SUV\textsubscript{max} noted at six week and six month time intervals. These data support the concept that functional imaging during treatment is feasible and may provide useful predictive information if these early findings ultimately correlate with patient outcome.

Agents targeting the EGFR signaling pathway can rapidly suppress metabolism and proliferation in responsive tumors, and our group and others have demonstrated suppression of FLT and FDG uptake within 48 hours of starting EGFR inhibitor therapy in xenograft models [14,18-21]. In clinical studies, a response to human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) inhibitor therapy has been monitored by FDG-PET, and data suggests a PMR four to six weeks after treatment initiation correlates with a prolonged progression-free interval with continued treatment [22,23]. Similarly, patients with lung cancer who underwent FLT-PET and had more than a 10.8% decrease in SUV in 7 days after starting gefitinib (a small molecule EGFR inhibitor) were more likely to respond to therapy [24]. In the current study, a minimal change in FLT SUV\textsubscript{max} (-1 to -2%) was observed in three of the four patients following cetuximab induction therapy, while 1 patient had a 32% increase in SUV\textsubscript{max}. Post-treatment biopsies in three of these patients demonstrated a moderate to high Ki-67 proliferative index, consistent with a lack of anti-proliferative activity of cetuximab. The elevated FLT uptake in the one patient falls outside the range of FLT variation previously described for serial scanning in NSCLC and may reflect a biological effect of cetuximab therapy [25]. It is also possible that the post-induction therapy imaging was performed prematurely and did not reflect the maximum benefit of cetuximab alone. Regardless of the mechanism underlying this singular response, both FLT-PET and Ki-67 analysis suggest that cetuximab did not significantly inhibit tumor cell proliferation in the four patients analyzed.

The current study is the first direct comparison of changes in FLT and FDG uptake in patients treated with a molecularly targeted therapeutic agent. FDG uptake is modulated by the level and activity of glucose transporters on the cell surface and cellular retention is ensured by phosphorylation of FDG by hexokinase. In contrast, FLT is transported into cells by nucleoside transporters and then trapped by thymidine kinase 1-mediated phosphorylation. Presumably, the discordant effects of cetuximab on FDG uptake versus FLT uptake in the current study reflects divergence of signaling pathways downstream of EGFR that impact on FDG versus FLT uptake and retention. This

### Table 2: SUV\textsubscript{max}, TLG, TLP, and FTV for FDG-PET and FLT-PET scans at each time interval.

| Case | Baseline | Post-cetuximab | Intra-radiotherapy | Post-treatment | SUV\textsubscript{max} | TLG/TLP | FTV | SUV\textsubscript{max} | TLG/TLP | FTV | SUV\textsubscript{max} | TLG/TLP | FTV | SUV\textsubscript{max} | TLG/TLP | FTV | SUV\textsubscript{max} | TLG/TLP | FTV | SUV\textsubscript{max} | TLG/TLP | FTV | SUV\textsubscript{max} | TLG/TLP | FTV |
|------|----------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------|-----|-----------------------|---------|-----|-----------------------|---------|-----|-----------------------|---------|-----|-----------------------|---------|-----|-----------------------|---------|-----|-----------------------|---------|-----|-----------------------|---------|-----|-----------------------|---------|-----|-----------------------|---------|-----|
| 1    | 15.1      | 14.1           | 174.7             | 117.9         | 26.9                  | 20.7    |     | 13.0                  | 13.8    | 146.6              | 102.7   | 28.8                  | 19.7    | 6.8                   | 6.6      | 79.6              | 44.6    | 24.7                  | 21.2     | 5.0                   | 4.2      |       |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |
| 2    | 20.9      | 14.8           | 97.9              | 43.4          | 11.1                  | 10.0    |     | 15.8                  | 14.6    | 55.0               | 31.8    | 8.5                   | 6.2      | 9.4                   | 7.1      | 14.3              | 7.7      | 4.2                   | 4.1      | 4.4                   | N/A      |       |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |
| 3    | 13.4      | 7.0            | 122.4             | 113.9         | 23.4                  | 33.5    |     | 12.6                  | 6.9      | 113.7              | 108.6   | 23.3                  | 34.9    | 2.9                   | 3.3      | 21.5              | 36.8     | 17.7                  | 25.1     | 5.8                   | 6.0      |       |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |
| 4    | 34.7      | 10.1           | 761.2             | 106.1         | 40.0                  | 47.3    |     | 34.8                  | 13.3     | 826.8              | 124.0   | 46.5                  | 48.6    | 26.5                  | 11.1     | 181.4             | 108.4   | 19.8                  | 22.4     | 6.6                   | 4.0      |       |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |
| 5    | 12.4      | 5.8            | 195.2             | 101.1         | 33.5                  | 36.4    |     | -                     | -        | -                 | -       | -                     | -       | -                     | -        | -                 | -        | -                     | -        | -                     | -        |       |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |
| 6    | 19.8      | 11.7           | 125.3             | 65.9          | 13.6                  | 13.8    |     | -                     | -        | -                 | -       | -                     | -        | 3.9                   | 3.4      | 45.8              | 14.7      | 24.9                  | 11.8     | 4.4                   | 4.5      |       |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |                       |          |     |
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Finding supports the concept that different biological information may be gained from changes in both FLT and FDG uptake in the same patient after therapy with molecularly targeted agents.

FDG- and FLT-PET have both been used as early predictors of radiotherapy response, but this is the first study to directly compare these modalities in the same patient. Other groups have correlated FDG metabolic responses during radiotherapy with clinical outcomes for rectal, cervical, and non-small cell lung cancers [26]. Preliminary clinical studies of intra-radiotherapy FLT-PET in patients with esophageal, lung, and head and neck cancers have demonstrated consistent reduction in radiotracer uptake without attempting to correlate response with outcome [7,10,27,28]. Similarly, intra-radiotherapy imaging in the current study was associated with a marked SUV<sub>m</sub> reduction within 10 to 15 fractions of radiation for both tracers. Concordance in radiotracer uptake between modalities was tighter at this interval for most patients, although one patient had stable FLT uptake with a contrasting reduction in FDG uptake. While the mechanism responsible for this finding is not known, ‘flares’ in FLT uptake with a contrasting reduction in FDG uptake may reflect accelerated tumor repopulation [27,29]. Additionally, one patient failed to achieve a PMR by FLT and/or FDG during radiotherapy FLT-PET imaging and may reflect accelerated tumor repopulation [27,29].

Potential study weaknesses include small patient numbers and a lack of long-term follow-up, both of which prohibit a definitive statement regarding the capability of early functional imaging to predict for long-term clinical outcomes. Additionally, another potential limitation regarding the capability of early functional imaging to predict for long-term follow-up, both of which prohibit a definitive statement.
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