# A Non-Coding Variant in SLC2A2 Predicts $\beta$ -Cell Loss in Type 1 Diabetes

## Alvin Ho, Paula Jossan, Noah Zaitlen and Srinath Sanda\*

University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

## Abstract

**Background:** Type 1 diabetes patients lose residual  $\beta$ -cell function after diagnosis at variable rates affecting development of diabetes related complications. The cause of this variability is not understood. We hypothesized that common genetic variants in genes in the insulin secretion pathway would influence the natural history of type 1 diabetes.

Methods: DNA samples and longitudinal insulin secretion data from 167 newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes patients were obtained. Participants were genotyped for common variants in insulin secretion pathway genes.

**Results:** After accounting for age, high-risk HLA alleles, BMI Z-score, and gender in a mathematical model, a non-coding intronic variant (rs10513688) in the SLC2A2 gene correlated with rate of loss of insulin secretion over the first 12 months. To confirm the biological significance of this non-coding region we created a microdeletion in the homologous intronic region in mouse β-cells and observed reduced Slc2a2 and insulin expression suggesting a functional role for this non-coding region.

Conclusion: This work suggests that a common genetic variant in SLC2A2 may associate with longitudinal decline in residual insulin secretion in patients with type 1 diabetes.

Keywords: Type 1 diabetes• SLC2A2• SNP

# Introduction

Type 1 diabetes is an autoimmune disease characterized by pancreatic -cell destruction [1]. Most patients have some endogenous insulin secretion remaining at the time of diagnosis, but the subsequent rate of loss is highly variable [2,3]. Patients with prolonged retention of endogenous insulin secretion after diagnosis have decreased retinopathy, nephropathy, and hypoglycemia compared to patients with more rapid loss of residual insulin secretion [4]. Therefore, understanding predictors of rapid loss of  $\beta$ -cell function is of clinical importance.

Variability in loss of endogenous insulin secretion is also relevant to current clinical trials that seek to modulate autoimmunity. Multiple intervention trials in new-onset type 1 diabetes participants (i.e. participants enrolled in clinical trials <100 days from diagnosis) aimed at preserving endogenous insulin secretion have been conducted over the past decade and have met with some success [5-10]. Interpreting these trials remains challenging since variables that predict the natural decline in insulin secretion in type 1 diabetes participants remain largely unknown and have not been incorporated into analysis of a candidate drug's efficacy. Therefore, understanding the biology of endogenous  $\beta$ -cell loss after diagnosis could impact clinical research.

Age is the strongest predictor of  $\beta$ -cell failure with younger patients having greater rates of loss after diagnosis [2,3]. Analysis of genetic risk factors for type 1 diabetes such as high-risk human leukocyte antigen (HLA) alleles have shown conflicting results regarding their influence on longitudinal decline in insulin secretion, suggesting that while genes related to immune function identified by genome wide association studies may predispose individuals to develop disease, they may not influence longitudinal loss of  $\beta$ -cell function exclusively [11]. However, studies in individuals without diabetes suggest that variants in  $\beta$ -cell-specific genes may explain differences in glucose levels

\*Address for Correspondence: Srinath Sanda, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA; Email: ssanda@immunetolerance.org

**Copyright:** © 2021 Srinath Sanda. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received 25 October 2021; Accepted 09 November 2021; Published 16 November 2021

and insulin secretion in individuals without diabetes [12,13]. Therefore, we hypothesized that genes involved in the insulin secretion pathway in humans may predict the rate of decline in residual  $\beta$ -cell function in type 1 diabetes participants.

## Methods

## **Study Population**

DNA was obtained from participants (n=167), aged 7 to 46 years (mean age 16.9 years ± 8.76 years), who had participated in the placebo arms of one of five type 1 diabetes intervention studies conducted by Type 1 Diabetes TrialNet between 2006-2011 [6-10]. Participants in these studies were recruited from multiple sites in the USA and Canada. All studies were approved by local institutional review boards; all participants provided informed consent as outlined in the primary manuscripts for each study. Admission criteria were similar in all studies. All participants had at least one diabetes autoantibody associated with type 1 diabetes (insulin autoantibody if duration of insulin therapy was <7 days, glutamic acid decarboxylase 65, islet-cell antigen 512, or islet cell autoantibody), were enrolled within 100 days of type 1 diabetes diagnosis, and had a stimulated peak C-peptide level of at least 0.2 pmol/mL on a baseline mixed-meal tolerance test (MMTT). As part of the placebo arms, participants received no intervention but had intensive diabetes management provided by the study physicians and outside health care providers. Placebo participants underwent longitudinal 2- or 4-hour MMTTs as part of the study.

#### SNP selection

We chose to study common variants in genes related to insulin secretion. Using a curated pathway of 93 genes involved in regulating insulin secretion (R-HSA-422356) from the Reactome database (www.reactome.org), we identified 38 variants from these 93 genes that were covered by the Illumina Cardio-Metabo Chip and were: 1) intronic or within 2kb of the gene, and 2) did not violate Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the study population. We chose to restrict our SNP analysis to intronic or proximal variants in order to identify candidate genes that could plausibly contribute to loss of residual insulin secretion.

## **SNP** genotyping

De-identified DNA samples were obtained from the TrialNet consortium for all participants and genotyped using the Illumina Cardio-Metabo Chip, a genotyping chip containing 195,945 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) associated in genome-wide association studies with metabolic and cardiovascular endpoints, including glucose metabolism. Genotyping was performed using the manufacturer's protocol. All participants were successfully typed for at least 90% of SNPs on the chip.

## Metabolic data

Insulin secretion was quantified by calculating the area under the curve (AUC) for C-peptide collected during 2-hour MMTTs. For participants with a 4-hour MMTT, the AUC C-peptide was calculated based on the first two hours of data. Change in AUC C-peptide between months 0 and 6 and months 0 and 12 were calculated as (AUCmonth6 or 12 - AUCmonth0) / AUCmonth0.

## HLA genotype

High risk HLA genotyping was performed by Type 1 Diabetes TrialNet for all study subjects and was classified based on the presence or absence of high risk DR3 (DRB\*0301, DQA\*0501, DQB\*0201) or DR4 (DRB\*0401, 0402, 0403, 0404, or 0405; DQA\*0301 DQB\*0302) alleles.

#### **Cell culture**

Min6 cells were donated by Dr. Gregory Ku at UC San Francisco and were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) containing 4.5 g/L glucose supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 100x penicillin/ streptomycin. Cells were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO2. To subculture, the cells were treated with 1 mL 0.25% Trypsin/EDTA for 2 minutes at 37°C, then washed with 5 mL culture medium by centrifugation at 130x g for 5 minutes. All assays used Min6 cells grown to 70-80% confluence.

## **CRISPR Cas9 gene editing**

Forward and reverse guide RNAs (5'-GTCTAAGAGGTGAGTCTAGG-3' and 5'-AGCCTGTGTCACTCCAAATG-3') were designed to delete an intronic region of the gene containing the target SNP. Equal parts tract RNA (IDT) and crRNA were hybridized at 37°C for 30 min to create guide RNAs at 50  $\mu$ M, and then complexed with 20  $\mu$ M Cas9 protein at 37°C for 15 minutes to create ribonucleoproteins (RNPs) at 10  $\mu$ M. These RNPs were then added to 20,000 Min6 cells and electroporated using SF Cell Line 4D-Nucleofector X Kit with the 4D-Nucleofector 96-well Shuttle Device (Lonza) per manufacturer's instructions. After expansion of each edited line, we confirmed genetic edits by PCR, agarose gel electrophoresis, and Sanger sequencing. Guide sequences shown in (Table 1).

## **Real-time PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from Min6 cells using PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Thermo Fisher) per manufacturer's instructions and then reverse-transcribed into cDNA using SuperScript VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher). qPCR experiments were performed using a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). PCR products were detected using SYBR® Green Real-Time PCR Master Mixes (Thermo Fisher) with primer sequences provided in Table 1. PCR reactions were run in triplicate using an initial denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds, followed by cycles of denaturation (95°C for 10 seconds) and primer annealing and transcript extension (60°C for 30 seconds) for 40 cycles. Relative expression of transcript was normalized to the average Ct value of beta-actin and GAPDH using the Livak method. Analysis was carried out using the CFX Manager Software (Bio-Rad). RNA sequences shown in Table 1.

## **Cell proliferation assay**

Freshly harvested Min6 cells were resuspended in 5 $\mu$ M CFSE (Biolegend) in phosphate buffered saline (0.1%), then left to incubate at 37°C for 20 min. The cells were then washed, resuspended in culture medium, and seeded at a density of 50,000 cells/mL. Flow cytometric analysis was conducted on an LSRII (BD) flow cytometer at the UCSF Parnassus Flow Cytometry Core.

## Statistical analysis

Quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots across all QC'ed SNPs on the Cardio-Metabo Chip were used to evaluate presence of inflated test statistics. Multivariable linear regression was done to control for potential confounders in determining contribution of independent variables (gender, presence or absence of high risk DR3/DR4 alleles, BMI Z-score and insulin secretory genotype). Results were corrected for multiple comparisons using the method described by Benjamini and Hochberg [14]. An imputed q value of 0.2 was used for multiple comparisons analysis. For analysis of transcript data, triplicate results were analyzed using nonparametric tests (i.e. Mann-Whitney U tests) to compare fold changes between WT and edited cell lines. All analysis was done using R and GraphPad Prism.

# Results

## **Clinical characteristics**

Samples, clinical data, and mixed meal tolerance data were obtained on placebo treated patients from 5 new-onset type 1 diabetes trials conducted by NIDDK TrialNet [6-10]. Out of 167 placebo treated participants, 10 were excluded because of missing MMTT data during the first year. Clinical characteristics of participants used in the regression analyses are shown in (Table 2).

Regression model analyses identify a noncoding variant in SLC2A2 that predicts decline in insulin secretion

After genotyping the study population using the Illumina Cardio-Metabochip, we first assessed whether there was evidence of genomic inflation or population stratification. Principal component analysis of the data suggested absence of significant population stratification and we did not observe significant genomic inflation on the QQ plot (=1.039; Figure 1).

We then selected variants from genes in the insulin secretory pathway as identified in the Reactome database (R-HSA-422356). Selected variants were either intronic or within 2kb of a gene in the insulin secretory pathway. A total of 38 variants from the Cardio-Metabochip met these criteria and were analyzed in multivariable linear regression model (Figure 2A). Given that age is a strong predictor of loss of insulin secretion, we constructed a model without age to maximize discovery of significant variants. Four variables (gender, BMI Z-score, DR3 status, and DR4 status) along with SNP genotypes were analyzed against percent decline of C-peptide over the first 6 months and the first 12 months after trial enrollment in a multivariable linear regression model. An intronic variant in the glucose transporter 2 gene, SLC2A2 (rs10513688 A/G), significantly correlated with rate of fall of insulin secretion over the first 12 months with a 19.1% decline in AUC C-peptide over the first year for every G allele (Figure 2B and Table 3, p value = 0.005). This relationship remained significant after multiple comparisons correction. No genotypes predicted

Table 1. Guide and primer RNA sequences used.

Oligo	Sequence (5'-3')
rs10513688 F primer	ATGCTTCACTCGGTCATGTC
rs10513688 R primer	GGAGGTTTGGGACCAGTAAG
CRISPR deletion gRNA 5	GTCTAAGAGGTGAGTCTAGG
CRISPR deletion gRNA 6	AGCCTGTGTCACTCCAAATG
mouse Ins2 exon 1 - intron 1 F primer	GGGGAGCGTGGCTTCTTCTA
mouse Ins2 exon 1 - intron 1 R primer	GGGGACAGAATTCAGTGGCA
mouse SIc2a2 F primer	ACACCGGAATGTTCTTAGCC
mouse SIc2a2 R primer	GTGAGAGAAGCCGAGGAAAG

rate of loss of insulin secretion at 6 months. Gender, body mass index (BMI) Z-score, DR3 status, and DR4 status were not significantly associated with rate of loss of insulin secretion at 6 months or 12 months after multiple comparisons adjustments.

We then included the rs10513688 SNP along with age in a regression model to ensure the effect of the variant on insulin secretion was still observed when accounting for age. As predicted, age strongly associated with rate of loss of insulin secretion over the first 12 months (p value =  $2.33 \times 10-5$ ). The rs10513688 variant remained significant even when accounting for age, with a 17.5% decline in AUC C-peptide for every G allele present (p value = 0.006) suggesting that this region, even when accounting for age, BMI, high risk HLA alleles, and gender could influence loss of residual insulin secretion in type 1 diabetes patients (Table 4).

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated microdeletion of rs10513688 gene region in Min6 cells

A comparable cohort of participants with type 1 diabetes and longitudinal insulin secretion was not available to validate the candidate variant. Since the glucose transporter Glut2 is expressed on the surface of murine -cells, we interrogated the functional significance of the intronic variant using genetically edited mouse cell lines.

The knockout of Glut2 in mice leads to impaired insulin secretion but functional interrogation of the intronic region containing rs10513688 has not been performed before [15]. We hypothesized that rs10513688 may reside in a cis-regulatory element and sought to determine if the intronic region surrounding rs10513688 regulated Slc2a2 expression through in vitro genetic

manipulation of murine Min6 cells (Figure 3A). Rather than engineering the exact single nucleotide change in mouse cells, we chose to delete a small region of intron since the function of a specific nucleotide in a noncoding region may differ between species. We identified the homologous region in the murine genome corresponding to the human rs10513688 region using a clustal pairwise alignment (Figure 3B). We then deleted a small region containing the candidate variant using CRISPR/Cas9 directed editing. We electroporated CRISPR/Cas9 ribonuclear protein components along with two different guide RNAs directed at PAM sequences flanking the homologous SNP to specifically engineer a 44-nucleotide microdeletion in the intron of Slc2a2 while avoiding any alterations to the coding sequence (Figure 3C and Table 1). Edits were confirmed by PCR, gel electrophoresis and Sanger sequencing.

## Intronic microdeletion of SIc2a2 impairs insulin expression in Min6 cells

We first investigated Slc2a2 expression after introducing the microdeletion. Min6 cells with this 44-nucleotide microdeletion (Min6 d44) showed significantly impaired basal Slc2a2 expression compared to wild-type cells (Figure 4A). To determine whether deleting this genomic region played a role in -cell function, we examined both basal insulin expression and cell proliferation in Min6 WT and Min6 d44 lines. Deletion of this region correlated with significantly reduced basal insulin transcription (Figure 4B). In addition, CFSE dilution assays showed reduced proliferation of Min6 d44 compared to Min6 wild-type (Figure 4C) suggesting a possible role for Slc2a2 in  $\beta$ -cell viability.

Table 2. Demographic, genetic and metabolic data of participants used in regression analysis.

	Study Participants (n=157)
Gender	M=98 m(62%), F=59 (38%)
Age (y)	16.97+/-8.97
High- Risk DR3 alleles	Absent = 85 (54%), Present=72 (46%)
High- Risk DR4 alleles	Abssent = 51 (32%), Present = 106 (68%)
Percent change in AUC C- peptide between month 0 and 6	-21.91+/-48
Percent change in AUC C- peptide between month 0 and 12	-40.38+/-37.12



Figure 1. Quantile-quantile plot. (QQ-plot of raw p- values from multivariate analysis adjusting for population stratification (genomic inflation factor =1.039). Observed p-values are plotted on the y axis and expected p-values are plotted on the x axis.

Table 3. A multiple regression model (including gender, BMI Z-score, HLA DR3 status, HLA DR4 status and SNP) was run to determine the effects of variables on decline in C-peptide at 12 months. Estimated effect size and p-values shown.

Variable	Estimate	p-value
Gender	-3.18	0.6
BMI	2.01	0.52
DR3	-10.17	0.08
DR4	0.05	0.99
rs10513688	-19.11	0.005

Green and the set of Green and			SNP	Gene		
Grid State S			rs10513688	SLC2A2		
FS10435401 GNAI1 FS10771279 ITPR2 FS10184119 KCNS3 FS1002226 KCNJ11 FS10090884 GATA4 FS10771282 ITPR2 FS10505999 ITPR2 FS10505999 ITPR2 FS10842727 ITPR3 FS10842727 ITPR2 FS10842720 ITPR2 FS10842724 ITPR2 FS10842725 GACNB2 FS10828705 GACNB2 FS10828705 GACNB2 FS10828705 GACNB2 FS10828705 GACNB2 FS1078137 GNA14 FS1078137 GNA14 FS1078137 GNA14 FS1078137 GNA14 FS1078137 GNA14 FS1078132 GACNB2 FS1078137 FKCN2 FS1078132 GACNB2 FS10783253 GNA14 FS10741088 GACNB2 FS10869934 GNA14 FS10741088 GACNB2 FS10869934 GNA14 FS10741088 GACNB2 FS10869934 GNA14 FS10741088 GACNB2 FS10869934 GNA14 FS10741088 GACNB2 FS108404 GP1R FS10741088 GACNB2 FS108404 GP1R FS10741088 GACNB2 FS108404 GP1R FS10741088 GACNB2 FS108404 GP1R FS10741088 GACNB2 FS10741088 GACNB2 FS108404 GP1R FS10741088 GACNB2 FS108404 GP1R FS10741088 GACNB2 FS108404 GP1R FS10741088 GACNB2 FS108404 GP1R FS10741088 GACNB2 FS108404 GP1R FS10741088 GACNB2 FS108404 GP1R FS1074108 GACNB2 FS108404 GP1R FS108404 GP1R FS1074108 GACNB2 FS108404 GP1R FS108404 GP1R			rs10842722	ITPR2		
FS10771279 ITPR2 FS10184119 KCNS3 FS1002226 KCN111 FS10090884 GATA4 FS10771282 ITPR2 FS1055999 ITPR2 FS105551 PRKCA FS10828777 ITPR2 FS10842720 ITPR2 FS10842720 ITPR2 FS10842724 ITPR2 FS10842724 ITPR2 FS10842724 ITPR2 FS10842724 ADCVS FS10828705 CACNB2 FS10828705 CACNB2 FS10828705 CACNB2 FS10828705 CACNB2 FS10934647 ADCVS FS10781437 GNA14 FS102109 GATA4 FS10720368 ADCVS FS1078176 KCNC2 FS102537 PICB1 FS102537 PICB1 FS102537 PICB1 FS102537 PICB1 FS102537 PICB1 FS104300 ITPR2 FS104302 GACNB2 FS10432253 GNA14 FS102537 PICB1 FS1043253 GNA14 FS102537 PICB1 FS1043253 GNA14 FS102537 PICB1 FS1043253 GNA14 FS102537 PICB1 FS1043253 GNA14 FS102537 PICB1 FS10453253 GNA14 FS102537 PICB1 FS10453253 GNA14 FS10741088 CACNB2 FS10453253 GNA14 FS10741088 CACNB2 FS10453253 GNA14 FS1074068 CACNB2 FS10669934 GNA14 FS1074068 CACNB2 FS1066994 GNA14 FS1074068 CACNB2 FS106694 GNA14 FS1074068 CACNB2 FS106694 GNA14 FS1074068 CACNB2 FS1074			rs10435401	GNAI1		
FS10184119 KCNS3 rs1002226 KCN111 rs100384 GATA4 rs1021296 ITPR2 rs1055999 ITPR2 rs1005651 PRKCA rs10869926 GNA14 rs10889792 GNA14 rs10842772 ITPR3 rs10842772 ITPR2 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs1084274 ITPR3 rs10828749 GACNB2 rs10828749 GACNB2 rs10828749 GACNB2 rs10828749 GACNB2 rs10828705 GACNB2 rs10781437 GNA14 rs1002139 GATA4 rs100368 ADCY5 rs10781437 GNA14 rs100368 ADCY5 rs1078176 KCNC2 rs10785176 KCNC2 rs1042044 GIP1R rs10741058 GACNB2 rs1042044 GIP1R rs10741058 GACNB2 rs1042044 GIP1R rs10741058 GACNB2 rs10429360 ITPR2 rs10453253 GNA14 rs101452277 PCGK1 rs10453253 GNA14 rs10741088 GACNB2 rs10453253 GNA14 rs10741088 GACNB2 rs1045537 PCGK1 rs1045537 PCGK1 rs105557 PCGK1 rs105577 PCGK1 rs105577 PCGK1 rs105777 PCGK1 rs105777777777777777777777777777777777777			rs10771279	ITPR2		
Fi1002226 KCNJ11 F510090884 GATA4 F51021226 ITPR2 F51021226 ITPR2 F510369926 GNA14 F510869277 ITPR3 F510842770 ITPR2 F51094427 ITPR3 F510842720 ITPR2 F51094427 ITPR3 F510842720 ITPR2 F510842794 ITPR2 F510842794 ITPR2 F510842794 ITPR2 F510842794 ITPR2 F510842794 ITPR2 F510828749 CACNB2 F510828749 CACNB2 F510828749 CACNB2 F510828749 CACNB2 F510934647 ADCY5 F510512513 PRKCA F510785176 KCNC2 F510785176 KCNC2 F510785176 KCNC2 F510741058 CACNB2 F51032537 PLCB1 F510145223 GNA14 F510112932 CACNB2 F510869934 GNA14 F510741088 CACNB2 F510869944 GNA14 F510741088 CACNB2 F510869944 GNA14 F510741080 CACNB2 F510869944 G			rs10184119	KCNS3		
rs10090884 GATA4 rs10771282 ITPR2 rs10505999 ITPR2 rs10505999 ITPR2 rs1005651 PRKCA rs10869926 GNA14 rs10842777 ITPR3 rs10824722 ITPR3 rs10842723 ITPR2 rs10842794 ITPR2 rs10842794 ITPR2 rs10828795 GACNB2 rs10828795 GACNB2 rs10828795 GACNB2 rs100368 ADCY5 rs10781437 GNA14 rs1000368 ADCY5 rs10785176 KCNC2 rs1042044 GIP1R rs10785176 KCNC2 rs1042044 GIP1R rs10785176 KCNC2 rs1042044 GIP1R rs1073523 GNA14 rs107302853 GACNB2 rs10035253 PICSL1 rs11014523 GACNB2 rs100368 ADCY5 rs10515237 PICSL1 rs11014523 GACNB2 rs1003936 0 ITPR2 rs1053253 GNA14 rs10741088 GACNB2 rs10741088 CACNB2			rs1002226	KCNJ11		
rs10771282 ITPR2 rs1021296 ITPR2 rs1005651 PRKCA rs10056926 GNA14 rs10869926 GNA14 rs10842777 ITPR3 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842721 ITPR2 rs10842794 ITPR2 rs1082879 CACNB2 rs1082879 CACNB2 rs1082879 CACNB2 rs1082879 CACNB2 rs1082879 CACNB2 rs100368 ADCY5 rs10781437 GNA14 rs1000368 ADCY5 rs107815176 KCNC2 rs10741058 CACNB2 rs10032537 PIC81 rs10014523 GACNB2 rs10032537 PIC81 rs1014523 GACNB2 rs1089934 GNA14 rs101453223 GACNB2 rs1089934 GNA14 rs101453223 GACNB2 rs1089934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2 rs1089934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2 rs10869934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2 rs1086934 GNA14 rs107408 CACNB2 rs1086934 GNA14 rs107408 CACNB2 rs107408 CACNB2 rs107408 CACNB2 rs107408 CACNB2 rs107408 CACNB2 rs107408 CACNB2 rs107408 CACNB2 r			rs10090884	GATA4		
rs1021296 ITPR2 rs10505999 ITPR2 rs1005651 PRKCA rs10869926 GNA14 rs10842777 ITPR2 rs10842727 ITPR2 rs10842723 ITPR2 rs10842794 ITPR2 rs10842794 ITPR2 rs10842794 ITPR2 rs10828795 CACNB2 rs10934647 ADCY5 rs10781437 GNA14 rs1062219 GATA4 rs100368 ADCY5 rs107816219 GATA4 rs100368 ADCY5 rs10781058 CACNB2 rs100368 ADCY5 rs10741058 CACNB2 rs10741058 CACNB2 rs1042044 GIPR2 rs1042037 PICB1 rs1014523 CACNB2 rs10453253 GNA14 rs102537 PCSK1 rs10741088 CACNB2 rs10741088 CACNB2			rs10771282	ITPR2		
rs10505999 ITPR2 rs10869926 GNA14 rs10842777 ITPR3 rs10842772 ITPR3 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842724 ITPR2 rs10842794 ITPR2 rs10842794 GCNB2 rs10828795 CACNB2 rs10828795 CACNB2 rs10828795 CACNB2 rs100368 ADCY5 rs10781437 GNA14 rs100308 ADCY5 rs10785176 KCNC2 rs1042044 GLP1R rs10741058 CACNB2 rs1003515237 PICB1 rs10125323 GNA14 rs100439380 ITPR2 rs10515237 PCSK1 rs1012932 CACNB2 rs10869934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2 rs1089934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2			rs1021296	ITPR2		
rs1005651 PRKCA rs10869276 GNA14 rs10842777 ITPR2 rs10927427 ITPR3 rs10828479 CACNB2 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842794 ITPR2 rs10828795 CACNB2 rs10828795 CACNB2 rs10828795 CACNB2 rs10781437 GNA14 rs1062219 GATA4 rs1000368 ADCY5 rs10781437 GNA14 rs1000368 ADCY5 rs10785176 KCNC2 rs1002537 PLCB1 rs10042533 GNA14 rs10049380 ITPR2 rs10515237 PCSK1 rs1012932 CACNB2 rs1085934 GNA14 rs10049380 ITPR2 rs10515237 PCSK1 rs1012932 CACNB2 rs1085934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2			rs10505999	ITPR2		
Fs10869926 GNA14 rs10842777 ITPR2 rs10947427 ITPR3 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842724 ITPR2 rs10842724 ITPR2 rs10828749 CACNB2 rs10828749 CACNB2 rs10934647 ADCV5 rs10781437 GNA14 rs1003068 ADCV5 rs107812513 PRKCA rs10781058 CACNB2 rs1002537 PICB1 rs100453253 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2 rs1038934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2 rs10741088 CACNB2 rs1074108 CACNB2 rs1074108 CACNB2 rs107410000000000000000000000000000000000			rs1005651	PRKCA		
Fs10842777 ITPR2 rs10847427 ITPR3 rs10842720 ITPR2 rs10842723 ITPR2 rs10842794 ITPR2 rs10842794 ITPR2 rs10828705 CACNB2 rs10828705 CACNB2 rs10828705 CACNB2 rs10934647 ADCY5 rs10934647 ADCY5 rs100368 ADCY5 rs100368 ADCY5 rs100368 ADCY5 rs10022537 PICB1 rs100453253 GNA14 rs10741058 CACNB2 rs10869934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2 rs1086934 GNA14 rs10740747 rs10740747 rs10740747 rs10740747 rs10740747 rs10747 rs10740747 rs10747 rs107407 rs10747			rs10869926	GNA14		
rs10947427 (TPR3 rs10842720 (TPR2 rs10842723 (TPR2 rs10842724 (TPR2 rs10842734 (TPR2 rs10828749 (ACNB2 rs10828749 (ACNB2 rs10934647 ADCY5 rs10781437 (GNA14 rs106219 (GATA4 rs100349 (ACNB2 rs100368 ADCY5 rs10512513 PRKCA rs10785176 (KCNC2 rs1042044 (GLP1R rs10741058 (ACNB2 rs1022537 PLCB1 rs10145323 (GNA14 rs1004380 (TPR2 rs10515237 PCSK1 rs1012932 (ACNB2 rs1086934 (GNA14 rs10741088 (ACNB2)			rs10842777	ITPR2		
rs10828479 CACNB2 rs10842723 ITPR2 rs10842724 ITPR2 rs10842794 ITPR2 rs10828705 CACNB2 rs10828705 CACNB2 rs10828705 CACNB2 rs10934647 ADCV5 rs10781437 GNA14 rs1001048 ADCV5 rs100368 ADCV5 rs10781233 PRKCA rs107812537 PRCB1 rs10042044 GLP1R rs10741058 CACNB2 rs10453253 GNA14 rs100453253 GNA14 rs1001512537 PCSK1 rs10111322 CACNB2 rs1086934 GNA14 rs10711088 CACNB2			rs10947427	ITPR3		
			rs10828479	CACNB2		
F310842723 ITPR2 rs10842794 ITPR2 rs10842794 CACNB2 rs10828749 CACNB2 rs10828705 CACNB2 rs10828705 CACNB2 rs10781437 GNA14 rs100368 ADCV5 rs10721058 CACNB2 rs100368 ADCV5 rs1078176 KCNC2 rs1074058 CACNB2 rs1022537 PLCB1 rs1014523 CACNB2 rs10453253 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2 rs1089934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2			rs10842720	ITPR2		
$\int_{e_{1}}^{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1$			rs10842723	TTPR2		
Greating of G alleles at rs10513688			rs10842794			
Geoup Fisioszer/49 CACNB2 Fisioszer/49 CACNB2 Fisioszer/40 CACNB2 Fi			rs10929740	CACNB2		
$\int_{r_1}^{r_1} r_2 r_3 r_3 r_3 r_4 r_5 r_1 r_3 r_1 r_3 r_4 r_5 r_1 r_5 r_1 r_5 r_5 r_5 r_5 r_5 r_5 r_5 r_5 r_5 r_5$			rs10828749			
$h_{12} = h_{12} = h$			rs10020703			
Horizon G G alleles at rs10513688			rs10781437	GNA14		
Fisilolia CACNB2 rs1000368 ADCY5 rs10512513 PRKCA rs10785176 KCNC2 rs1042044 GLP1R rs10741058 CACNB2 rs102537 PLCB1 rs10453253 GNA14 rs1049380 ITPR2 rs10515237 PCSK1 rs1012932 CACNB2 rs10869934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2			rs1062219	GATA4		
$F_{1}1000368 ADCY5$ $F_{1}30512513 PRKCA$ $F_{1}30785176 KCNC2$ $F_{1}10741058 CACNB2$ $F_{1}102537 PLCB1$ $F_{1}1014523 CACNB2$ $F_{1}1014523 CACNB2$ $F_{1}1014523 CACNB2$ $F_{1}1014523 CACNB2$ $F_{1}1012932 CACNB2$ $F_{1}1012932 CACNB2$ $F_{1}101458 CACNB2$			rs11013049	CACNB2		
$r_{s10512513} \frac{PRKCA}{KCNC2}$ $r_{s10785176} \frac{KCNC2}{KCNC2}$ $r_{s1042044} \frac{GLP1R}{GLP1R}$ $r_{s1022537} \frac{PLCB1}{PLCB1}$ $r_{s11014523} \frac{CACNB2}{CACNB2}$ $r_{s10453253} \frac{GNA14}{FS10515237} \frac{PCSK1}{PCSK1}$ $r_{s10069934} \frac{GNA14}{FS10741088} \frac{FCNB2}{CACNB2}$ $r_{s10869934} \frac{GNA14}{GNA14}$ $r_{s10741088} \frac{FCNB2}{FS10600000000000000000000000000000000000$			rs1000368	ADCY5		
$r_{s10785176} \frac{\text{KCNC2}}{\text{GLP1R}}$ $r_{s10741058} \frac{\text{CACNB2}}{\text{CACNB2}}$ $r_{s1022537} \frac{\text{PLCB1}}{\text{PLCB1}}$ $r_{s10145223} \frac{\text{GNA14}}{\text{GNA14}}$ $r_{s1049380} \frac{\text{ITPR2}}{\text{ITPR2}}$ $r_{s10515237} \frac{\text{PCSK1}}{\text{PCSK1}}$ $r_{s1069934} \frac{\text{GNA14}}{\text{GNA14}}$ $r_{s10741088} \frac{\text{CACNB2}}{\text{CACNB2}}$ $r_{s10869934} \frac{\text{GNA14}}{\text{GNA14}}$ $r_{s10741088} \frac{\text{CACNB2}}{\text{CACNB2}}$			rs10512513	PRKCA		
FS1042044 GLP1R rs10741058 CACNB2 rs1022537 PLCB1 rs1014523 CACNB2 rs10453253 GNA14 rs1049380 ITPR2 rs10515237 PCSK1 rs1012932 CACNB2 rs10869934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2			rs <b>1</b> 0785176	KCNC2		
Fs10741058 CACNB2 rs1022537 PLCB1 rs1014522 CACNB2 rs10453253 GNA14 rs1049380 ITPR2 rs10515237 PCSK1 rs1012932 CACNB2 rs10869934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2			rs1042044	GLP1R		
rs1022537 PLCB1rs11014523 CACNB2rs10453253 GNA14rs1049380 ITPR2rs10515237 PCSK1rs11012932 CACNB2rs10869934 GNA14rs10741088 CACNB2			rs10741058	CACNB2		
$r_{s11014523} CACNB2$ $r_{s10453253} GNA14$ $r_{s1049380} ITPR2$ $r_{s10515237} PCSK1$ $r_{s11012932} CACNB2$ $r_{s10869934} GNA14$ $r_{s10741088} CACNB2$			rs1022537	PLCB1		
$rs10453253 \text{ GNA14} \\ rs1049380 \text{ ITPR2} \\ rs10515237 \text{ PCSK1} \\ rs11012932 \text{ CACNB2} \\ rs10869934 \text{ GNA14} \\ rs10741088 \text{ CACNB2} \\ \text{support of G alleles at rs10513688} \\ \text{Number of G alleles at rs10513688} \\ \text{Support of G alleles at rs10513688} \\ Support of G alleles at $			rs11014523	CACNB2		
			rs10453253	GNA14		
Fs10515237 PCSK1 rs11012932 CACNB2 rs10869934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2			rs1049380	ITPR2		
Pistinitizes (ACNB2 rs10869934 GNA14 rs10741088 CACNB2			rs10515237	PCSK1		
$\operatorname{Fill}_{\operatorname{rs10741088}(\operatorname{ACNB2})}^{\operatorname{rs10741088}(\operatorname{ACNB2})}$			rs11012932	CAUNBZ		
And Constrained a service of G alleles at rs10513688			rs10869934	GNA14		
Percent decrease AUC C-bebtide AUC C-bebtide -100 -100 -120 -140 -120 -120 -120 -120 -120 -120 -120 -12			1510741066	CACINDZ		
Boundhise of G alleles at rs10513688		100-				
$\operatorname{Bot}_{-120}^{0}$						-
But the set of G alleles at rs10513688		80-				0
$\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -20 \\ -40 \\ -20 \\ -40 $		60-		0		0
But the set of G alleles at rs10513688		40-	Í	ŏ		8
And control of G alleles at rs10513688	s e se	20-	· • •	8		ã
Becent de -20 -40 -40 -40 -40 -40 -40 -40 -40 -40 -4	rea otid	0-	···	- 8		
-100120140 - 0 - 1 - 2 Number of G alleles at rs10513688	bek mo	-20-			•••	
330 $-60$ $-80$ $-100$ $-120$ $-140$ $-140$ $-120$ $-120$ $-140$ $-120$ $-120$ $-140$ $-120$ $-120$ $-140$ $-120$ $-120$ $-140$ $-12$	12 0 12	-40-	•••••	0		Ξ
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NUC Ver	_60_		ŏ		1
$-80^{-}$ -100- -120- -140- 0 1 2 Number of G alleles at rs10513688	o A c	-00-		8		
-100- -120- -140- 0 1 2 Number of G alleles at rs10513688		-80-		0		
-120 -140 0 1 2 Number of G alleles at rs10513688		-100-				•
-140- 0 1 2 Number of G alleles at rs10513688		-120-				
0 1 2 Number of G alleles at rs10513688		-140-				
Number of G alleles at rs10513688		Ċ	)	1		2
		Num	ber of G	alleles	s at rs105	13688

Figure 2. rs10513688 correlates with loss of insulin secretion. List of variants included in linear regression model.

A). Linear regression plot comparing rs10513688 to rate of loss of insulin secretion over 12 months based on genotype at rs10513688. Solid dots represent 95% confidence intervals.



Table 4. Linear regression model including rs10513688 and age. Estimated effect size and p-values shown.

Figure 3. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated 44-base pair deletion in Slc2a2 intron 4 in the Min6 cell line. A. A graphic representation of human SLC2A2 gene with location of SNP rs10513688 indicated by arrow. B. Clustal pairwise alignment of human and murine SLC2A2 in the region surrounding SNP rs10513688. \* indicates residue homology. C. Sequence trace alignment of Min6 wild-type (WT) and edited Min6 (Min6 d44) compared to reference sequence. Guide RNAs used for CRISPR/Cas9 deletion are shown.



Figure 4. Microdeletion in SIc2a2 intron 4 containing rs10513688 results in reduced SIc2a2 and Ins2 expression and impairs proliferation of Min6 cells.

# Discussion

Our study suggests that genotype of the non-coding rs10513688 variant in SLC2A2 associates with a more rapid decline of endogenous insulin secretion after diagnosis of type 1 diabetes. This non-coding region affects  $\beta$ -cell function as evidenced by reduced Slc2a2 and insulin expression. These observations suggest that clinically observed heterogeneity in residual insulin secretion after diagnosis in type 1 diabetes patients may reflect inter-individual genetic differences in  $\beta$ -cells.

SLC2A2 encodes for glucose transporter 2 (GLUT2), a low affinity glucose transporter mainly expressed in the intestine, kidney, liver and pancreatic  $\beta$ -cell [16,17]. It is not the most prevalent glucose transporter molecule expressed on the membranes of pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells but its importance in regulating insulin secretion is well established [18]. Knockout mice show deficient insulin secretion [15]. In humans, mutations in SLC2A2 are observed in patients with Fanconi-Bickel syndrome, a disorder of carbohydrate transport characterized by hypoinsulinemia, hyperglycemia, hepatorenal glycogen accumulation, and nephropathy [17,19,20]. In addition, variants in SLC2A2, have been linked to

type 2 diabetes and HOMA-B measurements in large GWAS studies [21,22]. Therefore, there is evidence to suggest that GLUT2 impacts insulin secretion in humans and lends rationale to our observations.

Our functional analysis of the candidate variant highlights the need for additional work. There are limitations to testing human variants in a murine system, namely that sequence homology while conserved is not identical between the two species. Therefore, single nucleotide substitutions may not be preserved from species to species, which led to our approach of introducing small microdeletions in the intron. Additional studies in human cell lines or freshly isolated islets will be crucial to understanding the biological significance of this variant.

We hypothesize the region containing rs10513688 may be a cis-regulatory element. However, one obvious explanation for reduced SLC2A2 expression with our intronic microdeletion is that the variant may lead to alternative splicing of the SLC2A2 transcript. At least 6 known splice variant mRNAs are transcribed from the gene resulting in different predicted proteins with variable function [23]. However, based on splice site prediction algorithms, the rs10513688 variant does not appear to result in altered splice products. Future studies will investigate whether genotype at rs10513688 variant affects transcription factor binding and RNA splicing. In addition, it would be useful to analyze whether other SNPs in the SLC2A2 region also correlate with -cell function in type 1 diabetes.

There are several obvious shortcomings of this study. The number of variants tested and the sample size were limited. Given these shortcomings a focused search on genes related to insulin secretion was performed to maximize discovery. Furthermore, analysis of common variants within 2kb of a gene may have failed to capture other more distant loci more closely associated with longitudinal loss of insulin secretion. In addition, while this analysis did include age as a contributor to decline in residual insulin secretion, there were only 2 patients less than 6 years of age available for analysis. Therefore, the role of this variant in very young patients with type 1 diabetes is unknown.

# Conclusion

Identifying genetic predictors of the natural history of type 1 diabetes has important clinical implications. Given the connection between early loss of residual insulin secretion and microvascular complications, it is possible that subsets of type 1 diabetes participants with genetic variants predisposing them to more aggressive loss of insulin secretion can be identified resulting in earlier screening for, and prevention of, nephropathy and retinopathy [4]. Additionally, genetic profiling could be used to interpret the results of interventional trials that use retention of residual insulin secretion as a study endpoint. This study identifies a potential non-coding variant in SLC2A2 that may stratify patients in such a manner. Additional studies in larger cohorts are needed.

# **Declarations**

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

All clinical trials were conducted with ethics approval and all participants provided informed consent prior to enrollment in the respective clinical trials. Permission to access the samples was granted by NIDDK TrialNet. Consent to participate in the study was waived by the IRB at UC San Francisco since no identifying information was used.

## **Consent for Publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors state that they have no competing interests.

## Funding

This work was funded by grants from the Hellman Fellows Fund, NIDDK 1DP3DK111914-01, and an Innovation Award from the Coles Family. The

funding bodies played no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

## **Authors' Contributions**

AH acquired data, analyzed data, and drafted the manuscript. PJ analyzed data and drafted the manuscript. NZ analyzed data and revised the manuscript. SS designed the experiments, acquired data, analyzed data, and drafted the manuscript. All authors have reviewed and approved the manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Peter Bense and Rushika Pandya for assistance with data management and programming and Alex Marson and Theo Roth for technical advice on CRISPR-Cas9 editing. We also acknowledge the support of the Type 1 Diabetes TrialNet Pathway to Prevention Study Group, which identified study participants and provided samples and follow-up data for this study. The Type 1 Diabetes TrialNet Pathway to Prevention Study Group is a clinical trials network funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) through the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, and the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, through cooperative agreements U01 DK061010, U01 DK061034, U01 DK061042, U01 DK061058, U01 DK085465, U01 DK085453, U01 DK085461, U01 DK085466, U01 DK085499, U01 DK085504, U01 DK085509, U01 DK103180, U01 DK103153, U01 DK085476, U01 DK103266, U01 DK103282, U01 DK106984, U01 DK106994, U01 DK107013, U01 DK107014, UC4 DK106993, and the JDRF. The contents of this article are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH or the JDRF.

## References

- Atkinson, Mark A., and George S. Eisenbarth. "Type 1 diabetes: new perspectives on disease pathogenesis and treatment." Lancet 358 (2001): 221-229.
- Greenbaum, Carla J., Craig A. Beam, David Boulware and Stephen E. Gitelman et al. "Fall in C-peptide during first 2 years from diagnosis: evidence of at least two distinct phases from composite Type 1 Diabetes TrialNet data." *Diabetes* 61 (2012): 2066-2073.
- 3. Hao W, Gitelman S, DiMeglio LA, Boulware D et al. Type 1 Diabetes TrialNet Study G: Fall in C-Peptide During First 4 Years From Diagnosis of Type 1 Diabetes: Variable Relation to Age, HbA1c, and Insulin Dose. Diabetes Care 2016 39:1664-1670.
- Steffes, Michael W., Shalamar Sibley, Melissa Jackson, and William Thomas. "β-Cell function and the development of diabetes-related complications in the diabetes control and complications trial." *Diabetes Care*.26 (2003): 832-836.
- Herold, Kevan C., Stephen E. Gitelman, Mario R. Ehlers and Peter A. Gottlieb et al. "Teplizumab (anti-CD3 mAb) treatment preserves C-peptide responses in patients with new-onset type 1 diabetes in a randomized controlled trial: metabolic and immunologic features at baseline identify a subgroup of responders." *Diabetes* 62 (2013): 3766-3774.
- Gottlieb, Peter A., Scott Quinlan, Heidi Krause-Steinrauf and Carla J. Greenbaum et al. "Failure to preserve -cell function with mycophenolate mofetil and daclizumab combined therapy in patients with new-onset type 1 diabetes." *Diabetes Care*. 33 (2010): 826-832.
- Moran, Antoinette, Brian Bundy, Dorothy J. Becker and Linda A. DiMeglio et al. "Interleukin-1 antagonism in type 1 diabetes of recent onset: two multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials." *Lancet* 381 (2013): 1905-1915.
- Orban, Tihamer, Brian Bundy, Dorothy J. Becker and Linda A. DiMeglio et al. "Co-stimulation modulation with abatacept in patients with recent-onset type 1 diabetes: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial." *Lancet* 378 (2011): 412-419.

- Pescovitz, Mark D., Carla J. Greenbaum, Heidi Krause-Steinrauf and Dorothy J. Becker et al. "Rituximab, B-lymphocyte depletion, and preservation of beta-cell function." N Engl J Med 361 (2009): 2143-2152.
- Wherrett, Diane K., Brian Bundy, Dorothy J. Becker and Linda A. DiMeglio et al. "Antigen-based therapy with glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) vaccine in patients with recent-onset type 1 diabetes: a randomised doubleblind trial." Lancet 378 (2011): 319-327.
- Dabelea, D., E. J. Mayer-Davis, J. S. Andrews and L. M. Dolan et al. "Clinical evolution of beta cell function in youth with diabetes: the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study." *Diabetologia* 55 (2012): 3359-3368.
- Rose, C. S., N. Grarup, N. T. Krarup and P. Poulsen et al. "A variant in the G6PC2/ABCB11 locus is associated with increased fasting plasma glucose, increased basal hepatic glucose production and increased insulin release after oral and intravenous glucose loads." *Diabetologia* 52 (2009): 2122-2129.
- Bouatia-Naji, Nabila, Ghislain Rocheleau, Leentje Van Lommel and Katleen Lemaire et al. "A polymorphism within the G6PC2 gene is associated with fasting plasma glucose levels." Science 320 (2008): 1085-1088.
- 14. 14. Hochberg, Yosef, and Yoav Benjamini. "More powerful procedures for multiple significance testing." Stat Med 9 (1990): 811-818.
- Guillam, Marie-Thérèse, Edith Hümmler, Elisabeth Schaerer and J-Y. Wu et al. "Early diabetes and abnormal postnatal pancreatic islet development in mice lacking Glut-2." *Nat. Genet* 17 (1997): 327-330.
- Thorens, Bernard, Maureen J. Charron, and Harvey F. Lodish. "Molecular physiology of glucose transporters." *Diabetes Care* 13 (1990): 209-218.
- 17. Michau, Aurélien, Ghislaine Guillemain, Alexandra Grosfeld and Sandrine Vuillaumier-Barrot et al. "Mutations in SLC2A2 gene reveal hGLUT2 function in pancreatic  $\beta$  cell development." *J Biol Chem* 288 (2013): 31080-31092.

- McCulloch, Laura J., Martijn van de Bunt, Matthias Braun and Keith N. Frayn et al. "GLUT2 (SLC2A2) is not the principal glucose transporter in human pancreatic beta cells: implications for understanding genetic association signals at this locus." *Mol. Genet. Metab* 104 (2011): 648-653.
- Grünert, Sarah Catharina, Karl Otfried Schwab, Martin Pohl and Jörn Oliver Sass et al. "Fanconi–Bickel syndrome: GLUT2 mutations associated with a mild phenotype." *Mol Genet Metab* 105 (2012): 433-437.
- Taha, Doris, Naffaa Al-Harbi, and Essam Al-Sabban. "Hyperglycemia and hypoinsulinemia in patients with Fanconi-Bickel syndrome." J. Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 21 (2008): 581-586.
- Dupuis, Josée, Claudia Langenberg, Inga Prokopenko and Richa Saxena et al. "New genetic loci implicated in fasting glucose homeostasis and their impact on type 2 diabetes risk." Nat Genet 42 (2010): 105-116.
- 22. Willer, Cristen J., Lori L. Bonnycastle, Karen N. Conneely and William L. Duren et al. "Screening of 134 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) previously associated with type 2 diabetes replicates association with 12 SNPs in nine genes." *Diabetes* 56 (2007): 256-264.
- Thierry-Mieg, Danielle, and Jean Thierry-Mieg. "AceView: a comprehensive cDNA-supported gene and transcripts annotation." *Genome Biol* 7 (2006): 1-14.

How to cite this article: Sanda, Srinath. "A Non-Coding Variant in *SLC2A2* Predicts  $\beta$ -Cell Loss in Type 1 Diabetes". *J Clin Med Genomics* 9 (2021) 195.