A Four-Drug Induction Therapy Including Raltegravir for the Treatment of Naïve HIV-Infected Patients
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Abstract

Background: Despite the recent advances in antiretroviral therapy, human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) remains a global health threat. The aim of this study was evaluated the efficacy of a four-drug induction antiretroviral therapy (ART), including raltegravir (RAL), on the rapidity of suppression of plasma HIV-RNA below detectable concentrations and its impact on immunological recovery.

Methods: In this single centre, randomized prospective trial, 32 naïve HIV+ patients at the same clinical stage were enrolled and randomized for baseline viral load: sixteen subjects started ART with four antiretroviral drugs including RAL, while the remaining patients started the same therapy without RAL. Viro-immunological and metabolic parameters, indexes of hepatic and renal functionality were measured at baseline (T0) and after three (T3), six (T6) and twelve months (T12) from therapy introduction.

Results: We observed a faster viral drop in the group under RAL-therapy with respect to the other group. At the first month (T1) of therapy, the HIV-RNA was significantly lower in the patients receiving RAL-therapy (p<0.05). Immunological recovery was higher in patients with RAL than in those on other therapy at all detection times, with a significant increase at T3, T6 and T12 (p=0.02).

Conclusion: In this study, we found, for the first time, a rapid and significant improvement in CD4+ T cells count in patients with four drug induction therapies. The four-drug regimen was safe, well tolerated and also associated with a rapid decay of plasma HIV-RNA levels.
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Background

The primary goals of anti-HIV therapy are the suppression of plasma viral replication and the persistent recovery of number of circulating CD4+ T cells, the main target of HIV [1,2]. Highly active antiretroviral therapy achieves these goals for many patients, resulting in a reduction of HIV-associated morbidity and mortality with an estimated survival similar to the normal population [3]. The choice of the initial therapy is crucial to ensure a good long-term management of HIV infection [4], therefore optimization of the initial therapy represents a cornerstone to gain clinical and economic outcomes, including improvement of immune function and quality of life, and ability to control other comorbidities. There are observations that support the utility of intensified antiretroviral therapeutic (ART) regimens in accelerating the suppression of viral load and limiting the development of drug resistances [5]. In the past years, first-line treatments with 4, 5 or 6 antiretrovirals did not produce better virological outcomes than the standard 3 drugs-based ART. Indeed, evolutionary studies in macaque models [6] have suggested that viral replication may be completely blocked by therapy and that development of ART drug resistance was unlikely to occur during the initial viremia decay, suggesting that treatments were quite active, and resistant variants were not pre-existing.

On the other hand, previous studies have shown a correlation between a higher resistance selection and a longer time to reach an undetectable viremia [7,8]. In recent years, the integrase inhibitors, a new class of antiretrovirals, has been introduced in clinical practice preventing a critical step in HIV 1 replication [9]. Of these, raltegravir (RAL) represents the first integrase inhibitor available in the armamentarium against HIV [10], providing a rapid viremia suppression when combined with an optimized backbone treatment [11]. Furthermore, a recent study [12] showed a fully active salvage antiretroviral therapy including RAL is able to completely block the HIV-1 replication in plasma.

The first observation of the efficacy and tolerability of RAL was reported in the STARTMRK trial in naïve HIV positive patients, where RAL was compared with efavirenz (EFV) in combination with tenofovir (TDF) and emtricitabine (FTC). The RAL group showed a viral regimen suppression (<50 copies/ml) at week 48 in the 86.1% of cases versus 81.9% of cases of the EFV group. Therefore, an initial ART including an integrase inhibitor or RT inhibitor could ensure a better decay of virus production [13]. As a result, RAL+(TDF+FTC) actually represents a possible useful alternative first-line [14]. Furthermore, recent evidences suggested that an early suppression of plasma HIV-RNA below detectable concentrations could be a positive predictor on the long term virological suppression and could prevent virological failure [15]. The immunological recovery plays also a crucial role in preventing the clinical progression of the disease [16].
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Methods

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of a four-drug induction cART including RAL, analyzing the rapidity of the viral load reduction from baseline and its impact on immune recovery.

This was a single-centre, randomized, prospective trial realized at the Clinic of Infectious Diseases of “SS. Annunziata” Hospital, “G. d’Annunzio” University of Chieti-Pescara (Italy). Patients were enrolled from January 2011 to December 2013.

The study population included 32 HIV+ subjects naïve for any treatment; of these, sixteen patients started ART with four antiretroviral drugs including RAL (group 1), while the other patients started the same triple therapy without RAL (group 2).

Inclusion criteria were: Caucasian ethnicity, HIV infection with HIV-RNA>1000 copies/ml, naïve to cART and neither acute nor recent HIV infection according to medical history and positivity of antibodies against HIV. Exclusion criteria were: current alcohol or drug abuse; use of megestrol acetate, ketoconazole, steroids, growth hormone, medroxyprogesterone acetate, testosterone, or any anabolic agent in the 6 months prior to study enrolment; any acute infection in the 6 months prior to the study; acute hepatitis at the beginning of the study or advanced liver disease; kidney disease or serum creatinine level higher than twice the normal upper limit. Patients were also excluded from the study if they showed resistance to two or more drug classes and/or resistance to the class of integrase inhibitors. In addition, patients divided in according to baseline HIV viral load > or < 500000 copies/ml and the clinical stage according to CDC classification.

Blood samples were collected at the first clinic examination (T0), at 1 month (T1), at 3 months (T3), at 6 months (T6) and at twelve months (T12), to analyse plasma levels of glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol, aspartate aminotransferases (AST), alanine aminotransferases (ALT) and creatinine. The study was conducted in agreement with the Helsinki declaration. At the enrolment, all subjects provided a written informed consent. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS® software 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Linear mixed-effect models for repeated measurements were applied to analyze the effect of treatment on continuous outcome variables. Models were used to regress pre- and post-treatment measures with patients as a random effect assuming unstructured covariance matrix. A Contrast analysis, a priori specified, was also used to evaluate the difference between groups at each time point.

Results

Patients were randomized to the therapeutic regimen with RAL (group 1) or without RAL (group 2), with a computerized random allocation sequence, after the selection of the triple therapy on the basis of resistance testing. Patients were assigned to one of the two groups with an equal probability for each group. The induction therapy with RAL was made for six months. The baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of patients are detailed in Table 1. At baseline, the group 1 showed a significantly higher viral load than group 2 (476,728 ± 279,284 copies/ml vs. 146,141 ± 39,265 copies/ml, respectively; p=0.048). After one month of therapy (T1), the group 1 showed a better reduction of plasma HIV-RNA than group 2 (259 ± 88 copies/ml vs. 1007 ± 187 cp/ml, respectively; p<0.05). At the remaining time points, the reduction was comparable in group 1 and 2 (T12 7 ± 5 cp/ml vs. 7 ± 2 cp/ml, respectively) (Figure 1A). Based on ART therapy, significant differences in the immunological recovery were observed. The group 1 showed a higher CD4+ recovery than group 2 at T3 (T0 272 ± 49 T3 542 ± 90 vs. T0 264 ± 32 T3 396 ± 44, respectively; p<0.05) (Figure 1B). On the contrary, no significant changes of metabolic parameters and of indexes of hepatic and renal function in both groups were found, except for a significant increase in total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol levels in both groups (Table 2), although always within the normal range. The four-drug regimen was safe and well tolerated, in the absence of any virological failure.

Table 1: Baseline demographics data and clinical characteristics of patient are separately for each treatment groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Overall (n=32)</th>
<th>Treatment group</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HAART+RAL (n=16)</td>
<td>HAART (n=16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, Male/Female</td>
<td>24/8</td>
<td>12/4</td>
<td>12/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr), mean ± SD</td>
<td>43.6 ± 11.7</td>
<td>43.4 ± 11.9</td>
<td>43.8 ± 11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC stage, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>18 (56.3)</td>
<td>8 (50.0)</td>
<td>10 (62.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>9 (28.1)</td>
<td>5 (31.2)</td>
<td>4 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5 (15.6)</td>
<td>3 (18.8)</td>
<td>2 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mann-Whitney U test; *Chi-squared test or Fischer exact test, when appropriate

Figure 1: Mean and Standard error of HIV RNA (Panel A) and absolute CD4 (Panel B) separately for two group of treatment at baseline and 1, 3, 6 and 12 months of follow-up.
Discussion

This study showed that a four-drug induction therapy with RAL is effective and safe in HIV infected patients, and ensures a better immune recovery likely correlated to a rapid decay of viremia. RAL is an HIV-1 integrase strand-transfer inhibitor approved for use in cART of HIV-1 infection both in treatment-naïve and previously treated patients. Integrase inhibitors are unique among antiretroviral agents because of the specific interaction with two HIV critical elements the virally encoded integrase enzyme, and the HIV1 LTR [9]. This study allowed confirming that RAL is effective especially as first-choice drug in treatment-naïve patients due to a rapid drop of viral load, showing also a good safety profile because none of the patients had to discontinue the induction treatment due to adverse events or virological failure. With the advent of ART, plasma HIV-1 replication can become undetectable for years. However, HIV-1 can persist in lymphoid reservoirs where residual low levels of viral replication can be found [17]. Consequently, ART intensification may act on the residual HIV replication and on HIV reservoirs. Therapeutic regimens including maraviroc and raltegravir do not act on blood proviral HIV-1DNA reservoirs, but can decrease the cell-associated HIV-1RNA, the CD8+ T cells activation, and may have a possible impact on rectal proviral HIV DNA in some patients [18]. It is known that a rapid drop of the viral load correlates to a reduction of HIV virion's particles, particularly in the reservoirs. Treatment intensification with RAL significantly decreases the latent cellular HIV reservoir, as well as the CD8+ T cell activation [19]. In this study, we found, for the first time, a rapid and significant improvement in CD4+ T cells count in patients with four drug induction therapies and a faster viral decay in this group. The rapid decay of viral load observed is very important; the significant reduction of viral load observed at T1 associated to RAL therapy could be correlated to a significant and persistent increase of CD4+ T cells count, and this difference could persist over time, even after the end of RAL induction therapy. This increase might be due to the effect of intensification therapy, especially on the ongoing viral replication. Several clinical trials have reported on ART intensification, and the results were conflicting; no effects on the residual viremia measured by the single copy assay [20-22] or on immunological recovery [23] were reported. Some observational studies showed that at least 76% of HIV infected patients starting ART achieved an undetectable viral load within the first 6 months of therapy [24], while a percentage of 9%-45% did not obtain an appropriate recovery of CD4+ T cells count [25,26]. This condition, commonly known as immuno-virological discordance and associated with a lower CD4+ nadir, can lead to an increased risk of progression to AIDS-defining illness and death [27,28]. Furthermore, it has been argued that the lack of CD4+ T cells recovery and the disease progression may be due to a persistent immune activation [29,30]. In this study, the four-drug induction therapy with RAL resulted in a significant marked improvement in CD4+ T cells count. Unexpectedly, the patients group with RAL showed a lower impact on the increase in principal metabolic parameters compared to the group under standard cART.

Anyway, further extensive studies are needed to confirm our data, and to approve the use of a four-drug induction therapy with RAL in patients with high levels of viral load at baseline.

Conclusion

Intensification induction therapy with RAL for a period of six months in HIV infected treatment-naïve patients may have a significant advantage both in reconstituting CD4+ T cells and in decreasing the viral load in a faster way and this difference seems to be persistent over time even after RAL discontinuation.
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