

# A Decision Model Based on Community Preferences Using the Analytical Hierarchy Process

Sayed Soud\*

Department of Computer Engineering, University of Dudley, Dudley, UK

## Introduction

Because of their complex structure, networks can easily memorise data points. However, with insufficient data, the increasing complexity of model architectures may exacerbate the shortcomings of CNN models. The over fitting problem, which can be defined as the performance difference between the training and validation/test stages, is one of the most visible issues when implementing complex CNN models. Over fitting occurs when a model is either too complex for the data or when the data is insufficient. An example of an over fit model's loss curve. Although training and validation accuracy improved concurrently during the early stages of training, they diverged after a certain point, when the model began to lose its ability to generalise.

## Description

Data augmentation can be classified according to the intended purpose of use (e.g., increasing training dataset size and/or diversity) or according to the problems. Here are some examples of the latter: To address the occlusion issue, the random erasing technique was proposed; rotation and flipping were supposed to partially resolve the viewpoint issue; brightness was used to address the change in lighting, and cropping and zooming were used to address the scaling and background issues. The most common classification of data augmentation is deep learning-based data augmentation and traditional data augmentation, which is further classified as geometric, photometric, and noise data augmentation. For reviews of deep learning approaches for data augmentation. A decision model that prioritizes activities that support the development of a rural area in Chile is presented in this paper and is based on community preferences. The region's local government has tried unsuccessfully for many years to encourage economic growth. The incentive programs that have been offered have goals that are both complicated and contradictory. The determination of the appropriate weights for the various attributes is the most important aspect of this decision-making process. For multiple attribute decision-making, Sati's Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) is a versatile and tested decision support system. It provides decision makers with the ability to organize and evaluate the relative importance of their goals, alternatives, and/or solutions by incorporating both subjective and tangible data. The AHP is used to calculate weights that show how each proposed development strategy affects the community. The opinions demonstrate a wide range of preferences, much of which is due to the varying physical and financial circumstances of the experts involved.

The question at hand is which of the activities would have the greatest impact on the community in the future and contribute the most to the growth of the region. Identifying the area's factors and sectors was the first step in the research. In the early stages of the work, local decision-makers, experts, and government representatives from the region were questioned about the activities they believed would have the greatest impact on the region's progress. Consequently, numerous factors were suggested. The most important effects of carrying out

**\*Address for Correspondence:** Sayed Soud, Department of Computer Engineering, University of Dudley, Dudley, UK, E-mail: soud222@edu.in

**Copyright:** © 2023 Soud S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Received:** 02 February, 2023, Manuscript No. jtsm-23-93240; **Editor assigned:** 03 February, 2023, Pre QC No. P-93240; **Reviewed:** 16 February, 2023, QC No. Q-93240; **Revised:** 21 February, 2023, Manuscript No. R-93240; **Published:** 28 February, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2167-0919.2023.12.371

particular activities were determined in the second step. The experts indicated their preferences in relation to their particular fields of knowledge and expertise. The final step consisted of assigning weights to the measured characteristics of each activity in order to rank the proposed development activities that had the potential to improve the local environment. We were able to achieve a comprehensive outcome by integrating the diverse opinions and preferences of the community's actors through the application of AHP to the problem situation: how the activities are ranked.

Frequently, irregularities exist between local area inclinations, motivating force programs, and the expressed objectives. In a situation involving multi-attribute decision-making, like this one, it is challenging to determine the most effective a city. Satyr developed the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP), which enables factors to be arranged in a hierarchical structure and prioritized in order to make trade-offs between decision criteria and alternatives in order to determine the most effective policies or actions for achieving the desired growth in the region. In addition, measuring community preferences is challenging and entails multi-attribute decision-making. The case is described in Section 2. The results of the pairwise comparisons and the application of AHP to the problem are presented in Section 3. We looked at how to use the information we got from the hierarchical decision structure in the best way in Section 4. The conclusions are presented in Section 5. Harbor activities, as well as industrial, agricultural, fishing, tourism, and commercial services, are pursued in the region. In pursuing any of these activities, numerous complex factors and goals typically conflict or interfere. Due to the restrictions that must be implemented, making a profit in some sectors leads to the decline of others [1-5].

## Conclusion

A hierarchical structure was created with the overarching objective of "promoting regional development" in mind. A three level hierarchic design in view of the variables determined by the specialists was formed shows the fundamental construction where the levels address the elements as demonstrated beneath. After the fundamental framework was established, the effort was directed toward assembling a group of experts. This required meetings and interviews with representatives of farmers, workers, and businesses; managers, engineers, representatives from businesses, tourism, and commerce; representatives from education and health; government, marine, and harbor officials, among others. In the end, an expert panel of representatives from each sector of the study was put together. Representatives from the local Chamber of Commerce, the county Planning Commission, the Industrial, Tourism, and Harbour Committee, the local head of Education and Schools, and other residents were also included because local government and business leaders expressed an interest in participating in the ranking process.

## Acknowledgement

We thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive criticisms of the manuscript. The support from ROMA (Research Optimization and recovery in the Manufacturing industry), of the Research Council of Norway is highly appreciated by the authors.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there was no conflict of interest in the present study.

## References

1. Khalid Panwar, Nisha, Shantanu Sharma and Awadhesh Kumar Singh. "A survey on 5G: The next generation of mobile communication." *J Telecommun Syst Manage* 18 (2016): 64-84.
2. J. S. Metcalfe. "Technology systems and technology policy in an evolutionary framework." *Cambridge J Econ* 19 (1995): 25-46.
3. Chaurasiya, Prem Kumar, Vilas Warudkar and Siraj Ahmed. "Wind energy development and policy in India: A review." *J Telecommun Syst Manage* 24 (2019) 342-357.
4. Juefei, Xu, Felix Run Wang, Yihao Huang and Qing Guo, et al. "Countering malicious deepfakes: Survey, battleground, and horizon." *IJCV* 130 (2022): 1678-1734.
5. Al Ammary, Fawaz, Carolyn Sidoti, Dorry L. Segev and Macey L. Henderson. "Health care policy and regulatory challenges for adoption of telemedicine in kidney transplantation." *J Telecommun Syst Manage* 77 (2021) 773-776.

**How to cite this article:** Soud, Sayed. "A Decision Model Based on Community Preferences Using the Analytical Hierarchy Process." *J Telecommun Syst Manage* 12 (2023): 371.