**Open Access** 

# A Comprehensive Statistical Technique for Forecasting of Extreme Hydrological Situations

## Khurshid Ahmad<sup>\*</sup>, Bashir Ahmad and Akhtar Alam

Department of Geography and Regional Development, University of Kashmir, Jammu and Kashmir, India

## Abstract

Extreme hydrological situations constantly disturb the earth activities and life, to envisage such extreme activities we need a system that alarms well on time and recognized the expected danger; to prepare such systems one must have knowledge of the significant factors that are actively responsible for such extreme situations and we should have a reliable statistical technique that helps to prepare a useful model for such systems. In this paper we investigate the historical data of peak flood from several gauging stations of river Jhelum in Kashmir, India. A reliable estimation technique (L-moment) is applied for parametric estimation of the probability distributions and a reliable testing techniques are used to check the accuracy of fitting of the distribution, in additional to that L-Moment Ratio Diagram (LMRD) is used to impart information about fitting of distribution. Log Pearsons-III distribution shows better results and satisfies tests of distribution fitting, same probability distribution is globally accepted for flood forecasting.

Keywords: L-Moments • Jhelum • P-P Plot • L-Moment ratio diagram • Return period

# Introduction

Extreme hydrologic situations cause miseries to human beings and earth activities. To identify the trend and the movements of such situations we should have good knowledge of the significant factors involving in system and the reliable statistical techniques, in order to save the human and economic losses, we should build such a system that not only provides the warning before the extreme situation but also support and remain effective in those conditions. As far as the hydrologic forecast and allied constructional/managemental scrutiny is considered one must have the adequate knowledge about the concerning factors that plays vital role and latest statistical techniques that is used to frame better models. Some methodologies are used to make decisions about flood warning response, flood warning zones, optimal decisions are taken for hydrological forecasting based on the adequate information and efficient models [1].

# **Materials and Methods**

Different researchers suggest that a good number of probability distributions are used for flood frequency analysis. Mostly the probability distributions that are used in flood frequency distributions are extreme value Type-I, generalized pareto distribution, Log Pearson-III, generalized extreme value distribution and normal distribution. Parametric estimation in these distributions are mostly done by using method of moments, in this method assessing to higher moments becomes very difficult and this method is considered as less accurate comparative to other methods, to overcome such shortcomings L-Moment method (LM) provides better results, Kumar, Saf and Yue used the L-moment methods for estimations of parameters of probability distributions [2].

In the valley of Kashmir river Jehlum is the main source of hydrological extremes, flows through the region from South to North and entered into Pakistan, we have investigate the instrumental data of peak flood of 58 years of this region from the different gauging stations, Sangum in South Kashmir, Ram-Munshibagh in central Kashmir and Asham in North Kashmir (Figure 1) [3].



Figure 1. Ariel view of River Jhelum. Note: Settlements, State boundary, — Railway, Major roads, Normal river/Water bodies, Flood recession, Fresh flood Inundation, Flood persistence.

\*Address for Correspondence: Khurshid Ahmad, Department of Geography and Regional Development, University of Kashmir, Jammu and Kashmir, India, E-mail: jkstatuk@yahoo.co.in

**Copyright:** © 2022 Ahmad K, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 27 May, 2020, Manuscript No. jcde-20-11845; Editor assigned: 01 June, 2020, Pre QC No. P-11845; Reviewed: 15 June, 2020, QC No. Q-11845; Revised: 25 August, 2022, QI No. Q-11845; Manuscript No. R-11845; Published: 22 September, 2022, DOI: 10.37421/2165-784X. 2022.12.460

L-Moments (LM) are linear functions of ordered statistics having less biasing, less effected by sampling variability and are more robust than conventional moments. The L-moments for the order statistics is:

Three probability distributions are used, two of them are three parametric (GEV and LP3) and one is two parametric (Gumbel). The aptness of the distributions is investigated by various tests like Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS), Anderson-Darling (AD) and the Chi-Squared [4].

## **Generalized Extreme Values (GEV)**

Generalized extreme value distribution is the combination of Weibull, Gumbel and Frechet probability distributions, it has three parameters; location parameter (z), scale parameter ( $\alpha$ ) and shape parameter (k). Probability distributions having more number of parameters will be considered as more efficient specially in hydrological engineering even two parametric distributions sometimes have good results but in case of small sample size [5-8].

### Log Pearson Type- III distribution (LP3)

Similar to GEV, log pearson Type-III also uses three parameters: Location parameter ( $\mu$ ), scale parameter ( $\sigma$ ) and shape parameter ( $\gamma$ ), it belongs to the family of Pearson type-III.

## Gumbel distribution (EV1)

Gumbel distribution is a two parametric distribution, location parameter (z) and scale parameter ( $\alpha$ ). Standard error of two parametric distributions like (EV1) are smaller but biasing is large as compared to 3 or 4 parametric distributions (Figure 2) [9-12].





(a) Generalized extreme value





(c) Gumbel Max

Figure 2. P-P plot of three stations.

## Results

### Goodness of fit tests

Various tests (parametric and non-parametric) are used to check the efficient fitting of probability distribution to the given data, in these tests, test statistics are calculated and analyzed. Here we use non-parametric tests like Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Chi-Square and Anderson-Darling (Table 1) [13].

Table 1. Parametric and non-para	ametric	tests
----------------------------------	---------	-------

Station	Distributions	Sample size	Kolmogorov- Smirnov		Chi- Square	Anders on- darling	
			Statistics	p-Value	Statistics	p-Value	Statistics
l Sangum	Generalized Extreme Value	59	0.06857	0.90131	2.9735	0.70213	0.2228
	Log- Pearson III	59	0.0716	0.901	2.376	0.795	0.22142
	Gumbel		0.1239	0.1239	4.884	0.299	0.42365
ll Ram Munshi Bagh	Generalized Extreme Value	59	0.0548	0.99023	2.3259	0.80245	0.1625
	Log- Pearson III	59	0.05429	0.99122	1.2423	0.94076	0.1531
	Gumbel		0.05516	0.98948	2.3142	0.80419	0.16677
III Asham	Generalized Extreme Value	59	0.05892	0.97913	2.6497	0.75381	0.19416
	Log- Pearson III	59	0.06357	0.95869	0.903	0.97	0.18036
	Gumbel	59	0.07675	0.85148	1.143	0.95022	0.3523

# Discussion

## L-Moment Ratio Diagram (LMRD)

An additional mode to check the fitting of distributions is the (LMRD), it the diagram which represents the relationship between L-Skewness and L-Kurtosis (T3, T4) of data plotted against points and constant lines. Actually LMR Diagram is the visual check of the necessary fitting of the distribution (Figure 3) [14].



## **Return period**

The return period (year) of three gauging stations using Log Pearson's probability distributions (LP3) (Table 2) [15].

Table 2. The return period (year) of three gaug	ing stations.
---	---------------

Return Period	Station I	Station II	Station III
(Year)	(Sangum)	(Ram- MunshiBagh)	(Asham)
2	549.54	602.55	732.34
5	1000	977.24	1114.36
10	1348.96	1174.89	1344.61
25	1819.7	1479.11	1606.69
50	2137.96	1698.24	1781.77
100	2511.88	1862.08	1940.9
200	2884.03	2041.74	2086.54

# Conclusion

L-moment method provides better estimation with less biasing of unknown parameters of important probability distributions using in hydrological system. Throughout the world, arbitrating the trends of extreme hydrological situation are main constraints, so that economical and human losses may be reduced. Knowing the key factors involving the system and using the proper statistical techniques we may be able to establish a reliable system that predicts and monitor the situations. Here we see that the Log Pearsons-3 distribution shows better results with support of non-parametric tests, L-Moment Ratio Diagrams (LMRD) which is an additional way to measure goodness of fit to supports the claims. Log Pearsons-3 distribution are used globally for flood forecasting.

## References

- 1. Georgakakos, Konstantine P. "A Generalized Stochastic Hydrometeorological Model for Flood and Flash-Flood Forecasting: 1. Formulation." *Water Resour Res* 22 (1986): 2083-2095.
- Haktanir, Tefaruk, and Horlacher H B. "Evaluation of Various Distributions for Flood Frequency Analysis." Hydrol Sci J 38 (1993): 32.
- Hosking, Jonathan RM. "L-Moments: Analysis and Estimation of Distributions using Linear Combinations of Order Statistics." J R Stat Soc 52 (1990): 105-124.
- Hosking, J R M, and Wallis J R. "Some Statistics Useful in Regional Frequency Analysis." Water Resour Res 29 (1993): 271-281.
- Kjeldsen, Thomas Rødding, Smithers J C, and Schulze R E. "Regional Flood Frequency Analysis in the Kwazulu-Natal Province, South Africa, Using the Index-Flood Method." J Hydrol 255 (2002): 211.
- Krzysztofowicz, Roman. "A Theory of Flood Warning Systems." Water Resour Res 29 (1993): 3981-3994.
- Krzysztofowicz, Roman. "The Case for Probabilistic Forecasting in Hydrology." J Hydrol 249 (2001): 2-9.
- Krzysztofowicz, Roman, and Davis D R. "A Methodology for Evaluation of Flood Forecast-Response Systems: 2. Theory." Water Resour Res 19 (1983): 1431-1440.
- Kumar, Rakesh, Chatterjee C, Kumar S, and Singh R D. "Development of Regional Flood Frequency Relationships Using L-Moments for Middle Ganga Plains Subzone 1 (f) of India." Water Resour Manag 17 (2003): 243-257.
- Lardet, Pascal, and Obled C. "Real-Time Flood Forecasting using a Stochastic Rainfall Generator." J Hydrol 162 (1994): 391-408.
- Saf, Betül. "Regional Flood Frequency Analysis using L-moments for the West Mediterranean Region of Turkey." Water Resour Manag 23 (2009): 531-551.
- Solaiman, Tarana A. "Uncertainty Estimation of Extreme Precipitations under Climate Change: A Non-Parametric Approach." (2011).
- Yue, Sheng, and Wang C Y. "Possible Regional Probability Distribution Type of Canadian Annual Streamflow by L-Moments." Water Resour Manag 18 (2004): 425-438.
- 14. Montanari, Alberto, and Grossi G. "Estimating the Uncertainty of Hydrological Forecasts: A Statistical Approach." *Water Resour Res* 44 (2008).
- Li, Haibin, Luo L, Wood E F, and Schaake J. "The Role of Initial Conditions and Forcing Uncertainties in Seasonal Hydrologic Forecasting." J Geophys Res 114 (2009).

How to cite this article: Ahmad Khurshid, Bashir Ahmad and Akhtar Alam. "A Comprehensive Statistical Techniques for Forecasting of Extreme Hydrological Situations". *J Civil Environ Eng* 12 (2022): 460.