1. How many years have you been practicing and/or performing research?
   35; since 1979

2. What is the research topic you are working on now?
   Cellular protein responses to virus infection

3. What makes an article top quality?
   Combination of several factors; answers an important question or provides key information allowing the field to move forward, uses a variety of complementary approaches to rigorously test a hypothesis and provides an unequivocal answer.

4. What are the qualities you look for in an article?
   Combination of several factors; answers an important question or provides key information allowing the field to move forward, uses a variety of complementary approaches to rigorously test a hypothesis and provides an unequivocal answer.

5. Do you have any research funding (NIH or other national funding) now?
   Yes; Canadian Institutes of Health Research

6. When did you become an editor of OMICS Journal?
   2011

7. What is your greatest career accomplishment?
   So far, identification of specific cellular proteins that appears to completely protect
against influenza virus infection. However, this preliminary in vitro work still needs to be replicated in vivo.

8. How does the research published percolate through to practitioners?
   Too slowly. There are a variety of trade journals, whose reviewers attempt to skim and consolidate some of the many articles that are published.

9. What is the purpose of serving as an editor?
   To keep abreast of what is happening in the field, to provide guidance to the field, and to serve as a gatekeeper to ensure scientifically sound material is disseminated.

10. Do you have any patents?
    Yes; 1

11. Have you contributed any editorials or papers (any types) to OMICS Journals in the past two years?
    Yes

12. Do you plan to contribute any editorials or papers to OMICS Journals in the near future?
    Depends upon “near” definition. Probably in 3-5 years

13. Do you have any trouble with OMICS Journals in the past?
    Editorial communications are cumbersome and it often is not clear what is being asked of editors/reviewers. There also appears (at least in the past – hopefully, this has been corrected) poor communication between the editorial office and authors.
14. *Would you recommend OMICS Group to your friends or colleagues?*

   Possibly