Dale M Hilty et al., Adv Practice Nurs 2018, Volume 3
DOI: 10.4172/2573-0347-C11-048

Patient education: Evaluation of the affective domain questionnaire to assess changes in learning across three timed measurements

Dale M Hilty, Jody Gill-Rocha, Kathryn Ross, Anne Hinze and Kali Clark
Mount Carmel College of Nursing, USA

The purpose of this educational intervention was to determine if the Affective Doman Questionnaire (ADQ-SE) would assess changes in learning across three timed measurements. Instrumentation: Competitive Greatness scale (Hilty, 2017) is defined as being the best you can be, continuous self-improvement, appreciating difficult challenges. ADQ-SE measures Krathwohl et al.'s affective taxonomy model. The purpose was to create a patient education for senior level students (N=37) in a Bachelor of Science Nursing (BSN) program based on faculty lectures, faculty laboratory demonstration and student demonstration of skill in a simulation laboratory. Prior to the skill demonstration in the simulation laboratory, students selected one of the eight topics and submitted a term paper summarizing the topic and created a communication script describing how the information would be presented to the patient.

Timed Measurements:

1st Assessment: Pre-test

Intervention 1: Faculty lectures, faculty laboratory demonstration, assignment of small group research paper and communication script.

2nd Assessment: Intervention 2: Students assumed the role of a Registered Nurse in a simulation including the patient and family members. Faculty members spoke via a microphone as the voice for patient.

3rd Assessment: Hypothesis 1: The Patient Education Questionnaire (PEQ) was used to examine changes during the three timed-measurements. Using SPSS 25, a repeated measures ANOVA on these data produced a significant result ($F(2,34) = 9.836$, $p=.001$) for PEQ-Difficulty common factor. The data in the Pair-Wise Comparison tables revealed significant differences between Time 1 and Time 2 ($p=.001$), and Time 1 and Time 3 ($p=.001$). Hypothesis 2: On the PEQ-Satisfaction common factor, a significant result ($F(2,34) = 4.623$, $p=.001$) was found using ANOVA repeated measures. The data in the Pair-Wise Comparison tables revealed significant differences between Time 1 and Time 3 ($p=.007$).

Hypothesis 3: An ANOVA repeated measures test found a significant result ($F(2,34) = 7.613$, $p=.001$) on the PEQ-Apathy & Boredom common factor. The data in the Pair-Wise Comparison tables revealed significant differences between Time 1 and Time 2 ($p=.024$) and Time 1 and Time 3 ($p=.001$). Hypothesis 4: An ANOVA repeated measures test found a significant result ($F(2,34) = 11.603$, $p=.001$) on the Competitive Greatness scale. The data in the Pair-Wise Comparison tables revealed significant differences between Time 1 and Time 2 ($p=.001$) and Time 1 and Time 3 ($p=.001$).

Biography

Dale M Hilty is an Associate Professor at the Mount Carmel College of Nursing, USA. He has received his PhD in Counseling Psychology from the Department of Psychology at The Ohio State University. He has published studies in the areas of psychology, sociology and religion.

dhilty@mccn.edu

Notes: