

4th International Conference and Exhibition on

Biologics & Biosimilars

October 26-28, 2015 Baltimore, USA

Clearing the path for market entry before the USPTO

Jenny Chen Wolf Greenfield & Sacks, PC, USA

When it comes to clearing the path for market entry of biosimilars, there are several options available before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), including post-grant reviews, *inter partes* reviews (IPR), and *ex parte* reexamination. Introduced by the America Invents Act (AIA), post-grant reviews are available within nine months after issuance of a patent filed after March 16, 2013 to any party other than the patent owner. It allows patents to be challenged on essentially any patentability basis, including patentable subject matter, prior art, and enablement/written description requirements. IPRs were also introduced by the AIA as a counterpart to post-grant reviews. IPRs can be initiated immediately after issuance of a patent filed prior to March 16, 2013 or after the nine month window for filing a post-grant review in patents filed after March 16, 2013. It allows patent to be challenged only on the basis of anticipation or obviousness and only based on printed publications. Finally, *ex parte* reexamination has been available since 1981 and remains available under the AIA. Through *ex parte* reexamination, the patent owner or a third party may lodge a request for USPTO reexamination of an already-granted patent based on patents and printed publications that they bring to the USPTO's attention. The requester must establish that the submitted prior art establishes a substantial and new question of patentability. For some time, patent attorneys have known the advantages of challenging patents before the USPTO through the above-noted procedures, instead of or in addition to litigation. Such advantages include (i) there is no presumption of validity in the USPTO; (ii) post-grant procedures are quicker and relatively cheaper than litigation; and (iii) discovery is much more limited. As a result, these options should be carefully considered in the lead-up to market entry.

Biography

Jenny Chen is an Associate in the Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical groups at the Intellectual Property Law firm Wolf Greenfield in Boston. She focuses her practice on US and foreign patent prosecution, opinion work, due diligence and counseling clients in the areas of biological, medical and pharmaceutical sciences. She has worked on projects related to diagnosis and treatment for various diseases and disorders, vaccine technologies, drug discovery, antibiotic biosynthesis, medical implants, geneand cell-based therapies, pharmaceutical formulations and herb extracts. She received her PhD from Baylor College of Medicine, specializing in molecular biology and pharmacology and her JD from Northeastern University School of Law.

Yahua.Chen@WolfGreenfield.com

Notes:

Volume 7 Issue 5